

Line Set Dominating Set with Reference to Degree

P.Solai Rani¹ and R.Poovazhaki²

¹Department of Mathematics, R.V.S.College of Arts and Science, Suler
Coimbatore Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding author. Email: prsolairani@gmail.com

²Principal, E.M.G.Yadava Women's College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India
Email: rpoovazhaki@yahoo.co.in

Received 12 May 2017; accepted 2 June 2017

Abstract. A set $D^l \subseteq E(G)$ is said to be a Strong Line Set Dominating set (*sbsd*-set) of G . If for every set $R \subseteq E - D^l$. There exists an edge $e \in D^l$, such that the sub graph $\langle R \cup \{e\} \rangle$ is induced by $R \cup \{e\}$ is connected and $d(e) \geq d(f)$ for all $f \in R$ where $d(e)$ denote the degree of the edge. The minimum cardinality of a *sbsd*-set is called the strong line set dominating number of G and is denote by $\vartheta_{sl}^l(G)$. In this paper Strong Line set Dominating set are analyse with respect to the strong domination parameter for separable graphs. The characterization of separable graphs with *sbsd* number is derived.

Keywords: Separable graph, line set dominating set, strong line set dominating set.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C69

1. Introduction

Domination is an active subject in graph theory. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ of vertices in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a dominating set. if every vertex in $V - D$ is adjacent to some vertex in D . The domination number $\gamma(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of dominating set in G . A dominating set D is called a minimal dominating set if no proper subset of D is a dominating set [3, 4].

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A set $F \subseteq E(G)$ is an edge dominating set of G . if and only if every edge in $E - F$ is adjacent to some edge in F . The edge domination number $\gamma'(G)$ is the minimum of cardinalities of all edge dominating sets of G . [4]

A dominating set S is a strong dominating set if for every vertex u in $V - S$, There is a vertex v in S with $\deg(v) \geq \deg(u)$ and u is adjacent to v [1, 2].

Let G be a graph. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a point set dominating set (PSD-set) of G . if for each set $S \subseteq V - D$, there exists a vertex $u \in D$ such that the sub graph $\langle S \cup \{u\} \rangle$ induced by $S \cup \{u\}$ is connected. The point set domination number (PSD-number) $\gamma_p^l(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinalities of all PSD-Set of G . [6]

Let G be a graph. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is said to be a strong point dominating set (*spsd*-set) of G , if for each set $S \subseteq V - D$, there exists a vertex $u \in D$ such that the sub graph $\langle S \cup \{u\} \rangle$ induced by $S \cup \{u\}$ is connected and $d(u) \geq d(s)$ for all $s \in S$ where $d(u)$ denote the degree of the vertex u . The Strong point set domination number (*spsd*) $\gamma'_{sp}(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinalities of all *spsd*-set [7, 8].

Rao and Vijayalakmi introduced the concept of Line set domination set and derived results parallel to those of Sampathkumar and Pushpalatha [5].

Let G be a graph. A set $F \subseteq E(G)$ is a line set dominating set (*lsd*-set) of G , if for each set $S \subseteq E - F$, there exists an edge $e \in F$ such that the sub graph $\langle S \cup \{e\} \rangle$ is induced by $S \cup \{e\}$ is connected. The line set domination number $\nu'_l(G)$ (*lsd*-number) is the minimum cardinalities of all *lsd*-set of G .

Let x, y in $E(G)$ of an isolates free graph $G(V, E)$, then an edge x , e -dominates an edge if y in $\langle N(x) \rangle$. A line graph $L(G)$ is the graph whose vertices corresponds to the edges of G and two vertices in $L(G)$ are adjacent iff the corresponding edges in G are adjacent ($V(L(G))=q$). For any edge e , let

$$N'(e) = \{e \in F: e \text{ and } f \text{ have a vertex in common}\}$$

and $N'[e] = N'(e) \cup \{x\}$. For a set $F \subseteq E(G)$ Let $N'(F) = \bigcup N'(e)$. The degree of an edge $e=uv$ of G is defined by $\deg(e) = \deg(u) + \deg(v) - 2$. The maximum and minimum degree among the edge of graph G is denote by $\Delta'(G)$ and $\lambda(G)$ (the degree of an edge is the number of edges adjacent to it) A connected graph with at least one cut edge is called a separable graph. That is an edge e such that $G-e = \{E-\{e\}\}$ is disconnected [4].

2. Results and bound

Definition 2.1. A set $D^l \subseteq E(G)$ is said to be a strong line set dominating set (*slsd*-set) of G . If for every set $R \subseteq E - D^l$. There exists an edge $e \in D^l$, such that the sub graph $\langle R \cup \{e\} \rangle$ is induced by $R \cup \{e\}$ is connected and $d(e) \geq d(f)$ for all $f \in R$ where $d(e)$ denote the degree of the edge. The minimum cardinality of a *slsd*-set is called the Strong Line Set Dominating Number of G and is denote by $\vartheta'_{sl}(G)$.

Theorem 2.2. If a connected graph G with n edge, then

$$\vartheta'(G) \leq \vartheta'_l(G) \leq \vartheta'_{sl}(G) \leq q - \Delta'(G) \text{ where } \Delta'(G) \text{ is the maximum degree of } G.$$

Proof: Since every *slsd*-set of G is line set dominating set and we known that every line set dominating set of G is a edge dominating set of G and Let e be a edge of maximum degree $\Delta'(G)$. Then e is adjacent to $N'(e)$, such that $\Delta'(G) = N'(e)$. Hence $E - N'(e)$ is a *slsd*- set. Therefore $\vartheta'_{sl}(G) \leq |E - N'(e)|$. Hence

$$\vartheta'(G) \leq \vartheta'_l(G) \leq \vartheta'_{sl}(G) \leq q - \Delta'(G).$$

In this next result, we list the exact values of $\vartheta'_{sl}(G)$ for some standard graphs.

Line Set Dominating Set with Reference to Degree

Observation 2.3. For any complete graph K_n , then

$$\vartheta_{ls}^i(G) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{n}{2} & \text{for any positive even integer} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{for any positive odd integer} \end{array} \right\}$$

Observation 2.4. For any star $K_{1, n-1}$, then

$$\vartheta_{sl}^i(K_{1, n-1}) = 1.$$

Observation 2.5. For any path P_n , then

$$\vartheta_{sl}^i(P_n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & n = 3, 4 \\ 3 & n = 5 \\ n-3 & n \geq 6 \end{array} \right\}$$

Observation 2.6. For any cycle C_n , then

$$\vartheta_{sl}^i(C_n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & n = 3 \\ 2 & n = 4, 5 \\ n-2 & \text{for any positive integer } n \geq 6 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Observation 2.7. If $(K_{n,m})$ is a complete bi-partite graph of $m, n > 2$ vertices, then

$$\vartheta_{sl}^i(K_{n,m}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{m+n}{2} & \text{for } m = n \\ \frac{m+n-1}{2} & \text{for } m < n \end{array} \right\}.$$

In the next result, Strong line set dominating set in graph G is the Strong Point set dominating number of the line graph $L(G)$.

Observation 2.8. For any path P_n for any positive integer $n \geq 5$ vertices

$$\vartheta_{sl}^i(P_n) = \gamma_{sl}(L(P_n)) = \gamma_{sl}(P_{n-1}) = n - 3.$$

Observation 2.9. For any cycle C_n , for any positive integer $n \geq 5$ vertices

$$\vartheta_{sl}^i(C_n) = \gamma_{sp}(L(C_n)) = \gamma_{sp}(C_n).$$

Observation 2.10. For any star $K_{1,n}$ for any positive integer with $n \geq 2$ vertices

$$\vartheta_{sl}^i(L(K_{1,n})) = \vartheta_{sl}^i(K_{n-1}).$$

Theorem 2.11. Let G be a connected graph and $D^l \subseteq E(G)$ be a strong line set dominating set of G . then for every subset $R \subseteq E - D^l$ in $\bigcup_{e \in D^l} \langle N^l(e) \rangle$. There exists an edge $e \in D^l$, such that $\langle E - N(r) \rangle$ for all $r \in R$ is maximal edge dominating set.

Lemma 2.12. Let $G(V, E)$ be any graph and D^l be any strong line set dominating set. Then $(E - D^l)$ is a proper sub graph of a component $H(G)$.

Proof: Suppose there exists e and f belonging to two different components of G . Since D^l is a strong line set dominating set of G . There must exists $w \in D^l$, such that $\langle e, f, w \rangle$ is connected and $d(w) \geq d(f)$ for all $f \in E - D^l$. Contrary to the assumption, This implies $E - D^l \subseteq E(H)$ for some component H of G . Further, since D^l is a sls-dominating set of $D^l \cap E(H) \in \vartheta_{sl}^l(H)$. Hence $D^l \cap E(H) = \emptyset$, which implies that $(E - F)$ is a proper sub graph of H .

Theorem 2.13. Let G be a finite graph of order n , and C_G denote the set of its components. Then

$$\vartheta_{sl}^l(G) = q - \max_{H \in C_G} \{E(H) - \vartheta_{sl}^l(H)\} . \quad (1)$$

Proof: Let D^l be a $\vartheta_{sl}^l(G)$ G . By lemma 2.12 it follows that there exists $H \in C_G$. such that $E - D^l \subseteq E(H)$. Clearly $D^l \cap E(H) \in \vartheta_{sl}^l(H)$ and since

$$|D^l| = |D^l \cap E(H)| + q - |E(H)| \quad (2)$$

$$\text{We have } \vartheta_{sl}^l(G) \geq q - |E(H)| + \vartheta_{sl}^l(H) \Rightarrow \vartheta_{sl}^l(G) \geq q - \max\{E(H) - \vartheta_{sl}^l(H)\} \quad (3)$$

On the other hand,

$$\vartheta_{sl}^l(G) \leq q - |E(G) - \vartheta_{sl}^l(H)| \Rightarrow \vartheta_{sl}^l(G) \geq q - \max\{E(H) - \vartheta_{sl}^l(H)\} \quad (4)$$

From inequalities [3] and [4], we have $\vartheta_{sl}^l(G) = q - \max_{H \in C_G} \{E(H) - \vartheta_{sl}^l(H)\}$

In the remaining discussion of this paper, a graph G always means a separable graph.

Observation 2.15. If G is separable graph with sls-dominating set S . Then $B \cap D^l$ is a sls-dominating set of B for any block $B \in B_G$. (where B_G is the set of all blocks.)

Proof: Let $T \subseteq B - B \cap D^l$. Then that $T \subseteq E - D^l$ and D^l is a *slsd*-set. Therefore there exists $e \in D^l$ such that $T \subseteq N(e)$. Hence e is adjacent to more than one edge in B and $d(e) \geq d(t) \quad \forall t \in T(G)$. i.e. $e \in B \cap D^l$. Therefore $B \cap D^l$ is a *slsd*-set of B .

Observation 2.16. If a block B has a *slsd*-set B^l containing all cut edge belonging to B Then $(E - B) \cup B^l$ is a *slsd*- set .

Line Set Dominating Set with Reference to Degree

Remark 2.17. If D^l is an $\vartheta_{sl}^i(G)$ set of separable graph, then there are two cases:

- i) $\mathcal{D}_{sl}(G;X) = \{D^l \in \mathcal{D}_{sl}(G) : \exists a B \in \mathcal{B}_G \text{ with } E - D^l \subseteq E(B)\}$
- ii) $\mathcal{D}_{sl}(G;Z) = \{D^l \in \mathcal{D}_{sl}(G) : E - D^l \text{ contain edges of different blocks}\}$

Definition 2.18. Let $G=(V,E)$ be any graph with cut vertices, $D^l \in \mathcal{D}_{sl}(G)$ and $E - D^l \subseteq E(B)$,

$$\text{Define } L(B, D^l) = \{e \in E - D^l : N(e) \cap (D^l \cap E(B))\}.$$

Remark 2.19. If $L(B, D^l) \neq \emptyset$ then $E(B) \cap D^l \in \mathcal{D}_{sl}(B)$. This yields, $|B \cap D^l| < \vartheta_{sl}^i(B)$. This, in fact, we have

$$L(B, D^l) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \vartheta_{sl}^i(G) = n - \Delta^i(G)$$

Theorem 2.20. If $L(B, D^l) \neq \emptyset$, then $\vartheta_{sl}^i(G) = q - k_{sl}$. where

$$k_{sl} = \max\{E(B) - \vartheta_{sl}^i(B)\}.$$

Proof: Let $L(B, D^l) \neq \emptyset$ implies $B \cap D^l$ is a slsd set of B and hence $\vartheta_{sl}^i(B) \leq |B \cap D^l|$. Also $\vartheta_{sl}^i(B) \geq |B \cap D^l|$. For, if $\vartheta_{sl}^i(B) \leq |B \cap D^l|$, then

$(E - B) \cup B^c$ is a slsd set of G where $|B^c| = \vartheta_{sl}^i(B)$. Then

$|D^l| = |(E - B) \cup (B \cap D^l)| \geq |(E - B) \cup B^c|$. That is, there exists a slsd set $(E - B) \cup B^c$ of G with cardinality less than equal to $|D^l|$ which is a contradiction.

Hence

$\vartheta_{sl}^i(B) \geq |B \cap D^l|$. Therefore, $\vartheta_{sl}^i(B) = |B \cap D^l|$. Hence

$$\vartheta_{sl}^i(G) = |D^l| = |(E - B) \cup (B \cap D^l)| = |(E - B) \cup B^c| \geq q - k_{sl}.$$

Therefore, $\vartheta_{sl}^i(G) = q - k_{sl}$.

Remark 2.21.

i) $\mathcal{D}_{sl}(G;X_1)$ denotes the set of all slsd-set F of G with $E - D^l \subseteq E(B)$ and

$$L(B, D^l) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{B}_G.$$

ii) $\mathcal{D}_{sl}(G;X_1)$ denotes the set of all slsd-set F of G with $(E - F) - L(B, F) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.21. $\mathcal{D}_{sl}(G;X_1) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\Delta^i(G) \leq k_{sl} + 1$.

Proof: Let $D^l \in \mathcal{D}_{sl}(G;X_1) \neq \emptyset$, Then by definition of $\mathcal{D}_{sl}(G;X_1)$ there exist $B \in \mathcal{B}_G$ such that $E - D^l \subseteq E(B)$ for some block B of G and $L(B, D^l) \neq \emptyset$, Also,

$E(B) \cap D^l$ is slsd-set of $B - L(B, D^l)$. By the definition of $L(B, D^l)$, one can easily see that $(E(B) \cap D^l) \cup \{e\}$, $e \in L(B, D^l)$ is a slsd-set for B so that

$$\vartheta_{sl}^l(B) \leq |B \cap D^l| + 1 \quad (1)$$

Also, $|B \cap D^l| \leq \vartheta_{sl}^l(B)$ (2)

For otherwise, $\{E(G) - E(B)\} \cup \vartheta_{sl}^l(G)$ would be a slsd-set of G having less than $|D^l|$ edges contrary to the fact D^l is a $\vartheta_{sl}^l(G)$ By (1) and (2). We get

$$\vartheta_{sl}^l(B) - 1 \leq |B \cap D^l| \leq \vartheta_{sl}^l(B) \quad (3)$$

Now, $|D^l| = n - |E(B)| + |B \cap D^l| \geq n - |E(B)| + \vartheta_{sl}^l(B) - 1$. That is

$$n - \Delta^l(G) \geq n - |E(B)| + \vartheta_{sl}^l(B) - 1$$

Or, equivalently, $\Delta^l(G) \leq |E(B)| - \vartheta_{sl}^l(B) + 1 \leq k_{sl} + 1$. Thus, we have $\mathcal{D}_{sl}(G; X_1) \neq \emptyset$, $\Rightarrow \Delta^l \in \{k_{sl}, k_{sl} + 1\}$.

Acknowledgement. The authors are graceful to the referees and editors whose valuable suggestions resulted in a better organized and improved paper.

REFERENCES

1. B.D.Acharya and P.Gupta, On point-set domination in graphs, in; *Proc. Nat. Seminar on Recent Development in Mathematics*, N.M. Bujurke (Ed.), Karnataka University Press, Dharwad, 1996,106-108.
2. B.D.Acharya and P.Gupta, On point set domination in graphs: IV Separable graphs with unique minimum psd-sets, *Discrete Mathematics*, 195 (1999) 1-13.
3. F.Harary, *Graph theory*, Addison - Wesley, 1969.
4. T.Haynes, S.T.Hedetniemi and P.J.Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, 1998.
5. I.H.Nagaraja Rao and B.VijayaLaxami, *Line set domination number of a graph*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30(7) (1999) 709-713.
6. E. Sampathkumar and L. Pushpalatha, *Point set domination number of a graph*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 24(4) (1993) 225 - 229.
7. E.Sampathkumar, *Graph Theory Research Report No:3*, Karnatak University, Dharwad, 1972.
8. E.Sampathkumar and L.Pushpalatha, Strong weak domination and domination balance in a graph, *Discrete Math.*. 161 (1996) 235-242.
9. H.B.Walikar, B.D.Acharya and E.Sampathkumar, *Recent advances in the theory of domination in graphs and its applications*, MRI Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Allahabad, No. 1, 1979.