Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics

Vol. 10, No.2, 2015, 229-238

ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online)

Published on 4 November 2015

www.researchmathsci.org

Annals of
Pure and Applied
Mathematics

Ruscheweyh Derivative and a New Generalized Multiplier Differential Operator

S.R.Swamy

Department of Computer Science and Engineering R V College of Engineering, Mysore Road, Bangalore-560 059, India mailtoswamy@rediffmail.com

Received 25 September 2015; accepted 2 November 2015

Abstract. A new subclass of analytic functions, containing the linear operator, obtained as a linear combination of Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier transformation, is introduced. Characterization and other properties of this class are studied. Coefficient estimates, distortion theorems of functions with negative coefficients belonging to this class are also determined.

AMS Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30C45, 30A20, 34A40

Keywords: Analytic function, differential operator, multiplier transformation, distortion theorem

1. Introduction

Denote by U the open unit disc of the complex plane, $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$. Let H(U) be the space of holomorphic functions in U. Let A denote the family of functions in H(U) of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$
 (1.1)

The author in [14], has recently introduced a new generalized multiplier differential operator as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. Then for $f \in A$, a new generalized multiplier operator $I_{\alpha,\beta}^m$ was defined by

$$I_{\alpha,\beta}^{0}f(z) = f(z), I_{\alpha,\beta}^{1}f(z) = \frac{\alpha f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{\alpha + \beta}, ..., I_{\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) = I_{\alpha,\beta}(I_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-1}f(z)).$$

Remark 1.2. Observe that for f(z) given by (1.1), we have

$$I_{\alpha,\beta}^{m} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) a_k z^k, \qquad (1.2)$$

where

$$A_{k}(\alpha, \beta, m) = \left(\frac{\alpha + k\beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^{m}.$$
(1.3)

We note that: i) $I_{1-\beta,\beta,0}^m f(z) = D_{\beta}^m f(z)$, $\beta \ge 0$ (See Al-Oboudi [1]), ii) $I_{l+1-\beta,\beta,0}^m f(z) = I_{l,\beta}^m f(z), \qquad l > -1, \quad \beta \ge 0 \quad \text{(See A. Catas [4])} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{iii)}$ $I_{\alpha,1}^m f(z) = I_{\alpha}^m f(z)$ (See Cho and Srivastava [5]) and Cho and Kim [6])

Remark 1.3. $D_1^m f(z)$ was introduced by Salagean [9] and was considered for $m \ge 0$ in [3].

Definition 1.4. ([8]) For $m \in N_0$, $f \in A$, the operator R^m is defined by $R^m : A \to A$, $R^0 f(z) = f(z)$, $R^1 f(z) = zf'(z)$,..., $(m+1)R^{m+1} f(z) = z(R^m f(z))' + mR^m f(z)$, $z \in U$.

Remark 1.5. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in A$, then $R^m f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k(m) a_k z^k$, $z \in U$, where

$$B_k(m) = \frac{(m+k-1)!}{m!(k-1)!}.$$
(1.4)

The author in [15] has introduced the following operator:

Definition 1.6. Let $f \in A, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \delta \ge 0, \beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. Denote by $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m$, the operator given by $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m : A \to A$, $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m f(z) = (1-\delta)R^m f(z) + \delta I_{\alpha,\beta}^m f(z), z \in U$.

The operator $RI_{\alpha,\beta,,\delta}^m$ was studied in [11], [12] and [13] also. Clearly $RI_{\alpha,\beta,,0}^m = R^m$ and $RI_{\alpha,\beta,,1}^m = I_{\alpha,\beta}^m$.

Remark 1.7. i) If $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in A$, then we have $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{(1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta\!A_k(\alpha,\beta,m)\}a_k z^k, \ \ z \in U \ ,$ where $A_k(\alpha,\beta,m)$ and $B_k(m)$ are as defined in (1.3) and (1.4), respectively.

By making use of the generalized operator $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m$, we define the following new class.

Definition 1.8. Let $f \in A, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \mu \ge 0, 0 \le \lambda \le 1, \lambda \le \mu, \delta \ge 0, \rho \in [0,1),$ $\beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. Then f(z) is in the class $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))' + \mu z^{2}(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))''}{(1-\lambda)RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z) + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))'}\right) > \rho, z \in U.$$

$$\tag{1.5}$$

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Definition 1.8 we have

Definition 1.9. Let $f \in A, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \mu \ge 0, \delta \ge 0, \rho \in [0,1), \beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. Then f(z) is in the class $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\mu}(\rho)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))}{RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z)}\right)\left(1+\mu\frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))}{(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))}\right) > \rho, z \in U.$$

$$(1.6)$$

In view of the above definition, we deem it worthwhile to note the relevance of the class $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho)$ with some known classes. Indeed we have i) $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,0,0}(\rho) = S_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$, ii) $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,1/2,1/2}(\rho) = K_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$, iii) $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,1,1}(\rho) = C_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$, iv) $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,0,1/2}(\rho) = \Im_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$, v) $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,0,1/2}(\rho) = \Im_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$, vi) $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,1/2,1}(\rho) = \ell_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$, vii) $\aleph_{1-\beta,\beta,\delta}^{m,0,0}(\rho) = S_{\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$ and viii) $\aleph_{1-\beta,\beta,\delta}^{m,1,1}(\rho) = C_{\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$. The new classes $S_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$, $K_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$ and $C_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$ are defined in [12], while the classes $\Im_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$, $\Re_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$ and $\ell_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$ are introduced in [11]. Where as two classes $S_{\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$ and $\ell_{\beta,\delta}^m(\rho)$ are investigated in [2].

In section 2 we study the characterization properties for the function $f \in A$ to belong to the class $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho)$ by obtaining the coefficient bounds. Distortion theorem of functions belonging to this class is obtained in section 3. Analytic functions with negative coefficients belonging to the above class are considered in section 4.

2. Gene1ral properties

In this section we study the characterization properties following the paper of M. Darus and R. Ibrahim [7]. Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume that $A_k(\alpha, \beta, m)$ and $B_k(m)$ are as defined in (1.3) and (1.4), respectively, throughout this paper.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in A$, $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $\mu \ge 0, 0 \le \lambda \le 1$, $\lambda \le \mu$, $\delta \ge 0$, $\rho \in [0,1)$, $\beta \ge 0$, α a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. If

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-\rho) + (k-1)(k\mu - \rho\lambda) \} \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_k | \le 1 - \rho, \quad (2.1)$$

then $f(z) \in \aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho)$. The results (2.1) is sharp.

Proof. It suffices to show that the values of

$$\left(\frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))' + \mu z^{2}(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))''}{(1-\lambda)RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z) + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))'}\right) \text{ lie in a circle centred at 1 with radius } 1-\rho.$$

We have

$$\left| \frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{'} + \mu z^{2}(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{''}}{(1-\lambda)RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z) + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{'}} - 1 \right| =$$

$$\frac{\left[z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{'} + \mu z^{2}(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{''}\right] - \left[(1-\lambda)RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z) + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{'}\right]}{(1-\lambda)RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z) + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{(k-1)(1-\lambda+\mu k)\}\{(1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha,\beta,m)\}a_{k}z^{k}}{z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1+(k-1)\lambda)\{(1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha,\beta,m)\}a_{k}z^{k}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{(k-1)(1+\mu k-\lambda)\}\{(1-\delta)B_{k}(m)+\delta A_{k}(\alpha,\beta,m)\} |a_{k}||z|^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1+(k-1)\lambda)\{(1-\delta)B_{k}(m)+\delta A_{k}(\alpha,\beta,m)\} |a_{k}||z|^{k-1}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{(k-1)(1+\mu k-\lambda)\right\}\left\{(1-\delta)B_{k}\left(m\right)+\delta\!A_{k}\left(\alpha,\beta,m\right)\right\}\left|a_{k}\right|}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(1+(k-1)\lambda)\left\{(1-\delta)B_{k}\left(m\right)+\delta\!A_{k}\left(\alpha,\beta,m\right)\right\}\left|a_{k}\right|}.$$

The last expression is bounded above by $1 - \rho$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-1)(1+\mu k - \lambda) \} \{ (1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_{k} | \le (1-\rho) \Big[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1+k-1)\lambda) \{ (1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_{k} | \Big],$$

which is equivalent to (2.1). Hence

$$\left| \frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{'} + \mu z^{2}(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{''}}{(1-\lambda)RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z) + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))^{''}} - 1 \right| \le 1 - \rho \text{ and the theorem is proved. The}$$

assertion (2.1) is sharp and extremal function is given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(k - \rho) + (k - 1)(k\mu - \rho\lambda)\}\{(1 - \delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} z^{k}, z \in U.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let the hypothesis of the Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Then

$$\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{1-\rho}{\left\{(k-\rho)+(k-1)(k\mu-\rho\lambda)\right\}\left\{(1-\delta)B_{k}\left(m\right)+\delta A_{k}\left(\alpha,\beta,m\right)\right\}}, k \geq 2.$$

The following inclusion results can be proved using Theorem 2.1 **Theorem 2.3.** Let $0 \le \rho_1 \le \rho_2 < 1$. Then $\aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho_2) \subseteq \aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho_1)$.

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain

Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in A$, $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $\mu \ge 0$, $\delta \ge 0$, $\rho \in [0,1)$, $\beta \ge 0$, α a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. If

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k - \rho) + (k - 1)k\mu \} \{ (1 - \delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} |a_k| \le 1 - \rho, \tag{2.2}$$

then $f(z) \in \Omega^{m,\mu}_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}(\rho)$. The result (2.2) is sharp.

3. Distortion theorems

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in A, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \mu \ge 0, 0 \le \lambda \le 1, \lambda \le \mu, \delta \ge 0, \rho \in [0,1), \beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. If

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-\rho) + (k-1)(k\mu - \rho\lambda) \} \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_k | \le 1 - \rho,$$

then

$$\left|z\right| - \frac{1-\rho}{(2-\rho)+(2\mu-\rho\lambda)} \left|z\right|^{2} \leq \left|RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m} f(z)\right| \leq \left|z\right| + \frac{1-\rho}{(2-\rho)+(2\mu-\rho\lambda)} \left|z\right|^{2},$$

Proof. Note that $[(2-\rho)+(2\mu-\rho\lambda)]\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\{(1-\delta)B_k(m)+\delta A_k(\alpha,\beta,m)\}a_k\}$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-\rho) + (k-1)(k\mu - \rho\lambda) \} \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_k | \le 1-\rho,$$

by Theorem 2.1. Thus

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha, \beta, m) \right\} |a_{k}| \le \frac{1-\rho}{(2-\rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)}. \text{Hence we obtain}$$

$$\left| RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m} f(z) \right| \le \left| z \right| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha, \beta, m) \right\} |a_{k}| |z|^{k}$$

$$\le \left| z \right| + \left| z \right|^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha, \beta, m) \right\} |a_{k}|$$

$$\le \left| z \right| + \frac{1-\rho}{(2-\rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)} |z|^{2}.$$

Similarly

$$\left|RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z)\right| \ge \left|z\right| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha,\beta,m) \right\} a_{k} \left\|z\right|^{k}$$

$$\geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (1 - \delta) B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \right\} |a_k|$$

$$\geq |z| - \frac{1 - \rho}{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)} |z|^2.$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3.1 we get

Corollary 3.2. Let $f \in A$, $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $\mu \ge 0$, $\delta \ge 0$, $\rho \in [0,1)$, $\beta \ge 0$, α a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. If

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ [(k-\rho) + (k-1)k\mu] \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_k | \le 1-\rho,$$

then.

$$\left|z\right| - \frac{1 - \rho}{2 - \rho + 2\mu} \left|z\right|^{2} \leq \left|RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m} f(z)\right| \leq \left|z\right| + \frac{1 - \rho}{2 - \rho + 2\mu} \left|z\right|^{2}, z \in U.$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in A, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \mu \ge 0, 0 \le \lambda \le 1, \lambda \le \mu, \delta \ge 0, \rho \in [0,1),$ $\beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. If

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-\rho) + (k-1)(k\mu - \rho\lambda) \} \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_k | \le 1-\rho,$$

then

$$|f(z)| \ge |z| - \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)\}\{(m+1)(1-\delta) + \delta A_2(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} |z|^2, z \in U$$

and

$$|f(z)| \le |z| + \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)\}\{(m+1)(1-\delta) + \delta A_2(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} |z|^2 \ z \in U$$

Proof. In virtue of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\{(2-\rho)+(2\mu-\rho\lambda)\}\{(m+1)(1-\delta)+\delta A_2(\alpha,\beta,m)\}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}|a_k| \le$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-\rho) + (k-1)(k\mu - \rho\lambda) \} \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_k | \le 1 - \rho.$$

Thus
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \le \frac{1-\rho}{\{(2-\rho)+(2\mu-\rho\lambda)\}\{(m+1)(1-\delta)+\delta A_2(\alpha,\beta,\delta)\}}$$

So we get

$$|f(z)| \le |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|$$

$$\le |z| + \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)\}\{(m+1)(1 - \delta) + \delta A_2(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} |z|^2.$$

On the other hand

$$|f(z)| \ge |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|$$

$$\ge |z| - \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)\}\{(m+1)(1 - \delta) + \delta A_2(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} |z|^2.$$

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3.3 we get

Corollary 3.4. Let $f \in A$, $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $\mu \ge 0$, $\delta \ge 0$, $\rho \in [0,1)$, $\beta \ge 0$, α a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$.

If
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k-\rho) + (k-1)k\mu] \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} |a_k| \le 1-\rho$$
, then
$$|f(z)| \le |z| + \frac{1-\rho}{(2-\rho+2\mu)\{(m+1)(1-\delta) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} |z|^2, z \in U,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \ge |z| - \frac{1-\rho}{(2-\rho+2\mu)\{(m+1)(1-\delta)+\delta A_2(\alpha,\beta,m)\}} |z|^2, z \in U.$$

4. Functions with negative coefficients

Let T denote the subclass of A consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \ge 0$. We denote by $T\Re_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho)$ and $T\Omega_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\mu}(\rho)$, the classes of functions $f(z) \in T$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. We study the coefficient estimates, distortion theorems and other properties of the class $T\Re_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho)$, following the paper of H. Silverman [10]. For functions in T, the converse of Theorem 2.1 is also true.

Theorem 4.1. Let

 $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \mu \ge 0, 0 \le \lambda \le 1, \lambda \le \mu, \delta \ge 0, \rho \in [0,1), \beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. A function $f(z) \in T$ is in $T \aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-\rho) + (k-1)(k\mu - \rho\lambda) \} \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} | a_k | \le 1 - \rho.$$
 (4.1)

The result (4.1) is sharp.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove the only if part. Assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))' + \mu z^{2}(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))''}{(1-\lambda)RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z) + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m}f(z))'}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \mu(k-1))\{(1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha,\beta,m)\}ka_{k}z^{k}}{z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1))\{(1-\delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha,\beta,m)\}a_{k}z^{k}}\right) > \rho \quad (4.2)$$

clearing the denominator in (4.2) and letting $z \to 1^-$ through real values, we obtain

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \mu(k-1)) \{ (1 - \delta) B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} k a_k \ge \rho \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1)) \{ (1 - \delta) B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} a_k \right).$$

Hence we obtain (4.1), and the proof is complete. Finally, we note that assertion (4.1) of Theorem 4.1 is sharp, extremal functions being

$$f_{k}(z) = z - \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \lambda \rho)\}\{(1 - \delta)B_{k}(m) + \delta A_{k}(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} z^{k}, k \ge 2, z \in U.$$

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 4.1 we obtain

Corollary 4.2. Let $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \mu \ge 0, \delta \ge 0, \rho \in [0,1), \beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. A function $f(z) \in T$ is in $T\Omega_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\mu}(\rho)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k-\rho) + (k-1)k\mu] \{ (1-\delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m) \} |a_k| \le 1 - \rho.$$
 (4.3)

The result (4.3) is sharp.

Our coefficient bounds enable us to prove the following.

Theorem 4.3. Let

$$\begin{split} m &\in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \, \mu \geq 0, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \, \lambda \leq \mu, \, \delta \geq 0, \, \rho \in [0,1), \, \beta \geq 0, \, \alpha \, \text{ a real number} \\ \text{such that } \alpha + \beta > 0 \, . \text{ If } f \in T \aleph_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\lambda,\mu}(\rho) \, , \text{ then} \end{split}$$

$$|f(z)| \ge |z| - \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)\{(m+1)(1 - \delta) + \delta A_2(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} |z|^2, z \in U$$

and

$$|f(z)| \le |z| + \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \rho\lambda)\}\{(m+1)(1-\delta) + \delta A_2(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} |z|^2, z \in U$$

with
$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \rho}{\{(2 - \rho) + (2\mu - \lambda \rho)\}\{(1 - \delta)B_k(m) + \delta A_k(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} z^2, (|z| = r),$$
 for equality.

Putting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 4.3 we have

Corollary 4.4. Let $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \mu \ge 0, \delta \ge 0, \rho \in [0,1), \beta \ge 0, \alpha$ a real number such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. If $f \in T\Omega_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^{m,\mu}(\rho)$, then

$$|f(z)| \ge |z| - \frac{1-\rho}{(2-\rho+2\mu)\{(m+1)(1-\delta)+\delta A_2(\alpha,\beta,m)\}} |z|^2, z \in U$$

and

$$|f(z)| \le |z| + \frac{1-\rho}{(2-\rho+2\mu)\{(m+1)(1-\delta)+\delta A_2(\alpha,\beta,m)\}} |z|^2, z \in U,$$

with equality for
$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \rho}{(2 - \rho + 2\mu)\{(m+1)(1 - \delta) + \delta A_2(\alpha, \beta, m)\}} z^2$$
, $(|z| = r)$.

We conclude by mentioning some interesting consequences of our results.

- i) Allowing $\lambda = \mu = 0$, our results are comparable with those results of the author in [12].
- ii) Taking $\lambda = \mu = 1/2$, we see that our results agree with corresponding results of the author in [12].
- iii) Putting $\lambda = \mu = 1$, we get results matching with those of the author in [12].
- iv) Letting $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 1/2$, our results conform to results of the author in [11].
- v) Setting $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 1$, we obtain corresponding results of the author in [11].
- vi) For $\lambda = 1/2$ and $\mu = 1$, we have corresponding results of the author in [11].

REFERENCES

- 1. F. M.Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27 (2004) 1429-1436.
- 2. A.Alb Lupas and L. Andrei, New classes containing generalized Salagean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative, *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, 38 (2014) 319-328.
- 3. S.S.Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Swamy, On certain classes of analytic functions, *Soochow J. Math.*, 20 (1) (1994) 1-9.
- 4. A.Catas, On certain class of p-valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations, Proceedings book of the an international symposium on geometric function theory and applications, August, 20-24, 2007, TC Istanbul Kultur Univ., Turkey, 241-250.
- 5. N.E.Cho and H.M.Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, *Math. Comput. Modeling*, 37(1-2) (2003) 39-49.
- 6. N.E.Cho and T.H.Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly Close-to Convex functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 40(3) (2003) 399-410.
- 7. M.Darus and R.Ibrahim, New classes containing generalization of differential operator, *Applied Math. Sci.*, 3 (51) (2009) 2507-2515.
- 8. St.Ruscheweyh, New citeria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49 (1975) 109-115.

- 9. G.St.Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Proc. Fifth Rou. Fin. Semin. Buch. Complex Anal., Lect. notes in Math., Springer -Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362-372.
- 10. H.Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51(1) (1975) 109-116.
- 11. S.R.Swamy, New classes containing Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier differential operator, *American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics*, 11(1) (2015) 65-71.
- 12. S.R.Swamy, On analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier differential operator, *Inter. J. Math. Arch.*, 6 (7) (2015) 168-177.
- 13. S.R.Swamy, Subordination and superordination results for certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by the Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier transformation, *J. Global Res. Math. Arch.*, **1** (6) (2013) 27-37.
- 14. S.R.Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Int. Math. Forum*, 7(36) (2012) 1751-1760.
- 15. S.R.Swamy, A note on a subclass of analytic functions defined by the Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier transformation, *J. Math. Computational Sci.*, 2(4) (2012) 784-792.