

Short Communication

A Generalization of the Erdős-Szekeres Theorem

Rao Li

Department of Computer Science, Engineering, and Mathematics,
University of South Carolina Aiken, Aiken, SC 29801, USA.
E-mail: raol@usca.edu

Received 1 April 2026; accepted 7 May 2026

Abstract. Let S be an infinite set. Suppose B is a binary operator which can be applied to any two elements in S . When we evaluate $x B y$, where x and y are in S , we have $k + 1$ (where $k \geq 2$) exclusive results of $x R_0 y$, $x R_1 y$, ..., $x R_k y$, where $x R_0 y$ denotes $x = y$. It is shown that for any sequence W consisting of $r_1 r_2 \dots r_k + 1$ distinct elements in S , where r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k are positive integers, there is an integer s with $1 \leq s \leq k$ such that W has a subsequence of $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{r_s+1}$ satisfying $w_1 R_s w_2, w_2 R_s w_3, \dots, w_{r_s} R_s w_{r_s+1}$. The above result generalizes the well-known Erdős-Szekeres theorem in [1] (A combinatorial problem in geometry, *Compositio Mathematica* 2 (1935) 463-470.)

Keywords: Erdős-Szekeres Theorem, Generalization

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 05D10

1. Introduction

In 1935, Erdős and Szekeres [1] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Every sequence of $r_1 r_2 + 1$ distinct real numbers contains a monotonically increasing subsequence of length $r_1 + 1$ or a monotonically decreasing subsequence of length $r_2 + 1$.

In 1959, Seidenberg [2] gave a simple proof of the Erdős-Szekeres theorem. Motivated by Seidenberg's proofs in [2], we attempt to generalize the Erdős-Szekeres theorem in this note. To state our result, we need the following definitions or notations. Let S be an infinite set. Suppose B is a binary operator which can be applied to any two elements in S . The set S is called a k -result set based on B if the evaluations of $x B y$, where x and y are any two elements of S , produce $k + 1$ (where $k \geq 2$) exclusive results of $x R_0 y$, $x R_1 y$, ..., $x R_k y$, where $x R_0 y$ denotes $x = y$. For instance, the set of real numbers equipped with the binary comparison operator between any two real numbers is a 2-result set. Two elements x and y in a k -result set based on a binary operator are called distinct if $x R_0 y$ does not hold. A sequence $W = w_1 w_2 \dots w_p$ is an R_q sequence of a k -result set S if $p = 1$ or $p \geq 2$ and $w_1 R_q w_2, w_2 R_q w_3, \dots, w_{p-1} R_q w_p$, where q is an integer with $1 \leq q \leq k$. The main result of this note is as follows.

Rao Li

Theorem 1.2. Let S be a k -result ($k \geq 2$) set based a binary operator B . Then for any sequence W consisting of $r_1 r_2 \dots r_k + 1$ distinct elements in S , where r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k are positive integers, there is an integer s with $1 \leq s \leq k$ such that W has a subsequence of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r_s} + 1$ satisfying $x_1 R_s x_2, x_2 R_s x_3, \dots, x_{r_s} R_s x_{r_s+1}$.

Remark. Let S and B be, respectively, the set of real numbers and the binary comparison operator between two real numbers. Then S is a 2-result set with R_0, R_1 , and R_2 , respectively, the equality relation, denoted “=”, between two real numbers, the less than relation, denoted “<”, between two real numbers, and the greater than relation, denoted “>”, between two real numbers. Then we can use Theorem 1.2 to derive Theorem 1.1. Thus, Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

2. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $W := w_1 w_2 \dots w_h$, where $h := r_1 r_2 \dots r_k + 1$, be any sequence consisting of h distinct elements in S . For each w_i , where $1 \leq i \leq h$, we define a k -tuple of $(z(w_i, R_1), z(w_i, R_2), \dots, z(w_i, R_k))$, where $z(w_i, R_j)$ is the length of the longest R_j subsequence of W starting at w_i , where j is any integer with $1 \leq j \leq k$. Suppose Theorem 1.2 is not true. Then $z(w_i, R_j) \leq r_j$, for each i with $1 \leq i \leq h$ and each j with $1 \leq j \leq k$. Thus, the total number of k -tuples of $((z(w_i, R_1), z(w_i, R_2), \dots, z(w_i, R_k))$ is less than or equal to $r_1 r_2 \dots r_k$. Notice that there are $h := r_1 r_2 \dots r_k + 1$ distinct elements in W , and for each of them we define a k -tuple. By the Pigeonhole principle, we have that there exist two elements w_s and w_t in W such that $(z(w_s, R_1), z(w_s, R_2), \dots, z(w_s, R_k)) = (z(w_t, R_1), z(w_t, R_2), \dots, z(w_t, R_k))$ which implies that $z(w_s, R_1) = z(w_t, R_1), z(w_s, R_2) = z(w_t, R_2), \dots, z(w_s, R_k) = z(w_t, R_k)$. If w_s appears before w_t in W , since S is a k -result set based on a binary operator B and the elements in W are distinct, there is an integer u such that $w_s R_u w_t$, where $1 \leq u \leq k$. Prefixing w_s to the longest R_u subsequence of W starting at w_t , we obtain an R_u subsequence of W starting at w_s , denoted $W_1(w_s)$. Thus, we have that $z(w_s, R_u) \geq$ the number of elements in $W_1(w_s) = z(w_t, R_u) + 1$, a contradiction. If w_t appears before w_s in W , since S is a k -result set based on a binary operator B and the elements in W are distinct, there is an integer v such that $w_t R_v w_s$, where $1 \leq v \leq k$. Prefixing w_t to the longest R_v subsequence of W starting at w_s , we obtain an R_v subsequence of W starting at w_t , denoted $W_2(w_t)$. Thus, we have that $z(w_t, R_v) \geq$ the number of elements in $W_2(w_t) = z(w_s, R_v) + 1$, a contradiction again. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

3. Conclusions

In this note, we present a generalization of the well-known Erdős-Szekeres theorem. We will look for applications of our result in the future.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank the referee for their suggestions, which led to improvements in the initial version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest concerning the publication of this work.

Author's Contribution: The author is solely responsible for the conceptualization, methodology, analysis, and preparation of the manuscript.

A Generalization of the Erdős-Szekeres Theorem

REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry, *Compositio Mathematica*, 2 (1935) 463-470.
2. A. Seidenberg, A simple proof of a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 34(3) (1959) 352.