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#### Abstract

The paper solved the transportation problem with Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM) is a special class of Linear Programming Problem. The importance of determining efficient solutions for large sized transportation problems is borne out by many practical problems in industries, the military, etc. With this motivation, a few variants of VAM incorporating the total opportunity cost (TOC) concept were investigated to obtain fast and efficient solutions. Computational experiments were carried out to evaluate these variants of VAM. The quality of solutions indicates that the basic version of the VAM coupled with total opportunity cost (called the VAMTOC) yields a very efficient initial solution. Researchers determined the amounts transported from each source to each sink. Also researchers discussed how to satisfying the supply and demand restrictions, minimize the total transportation cost.
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## 1. Introduction

The Transportation Problem [1] is one of the most useful techniques in many branches in Pure and Applied Mathematics particularly an account of its importance in Linear Programming Problem. The transportation algorithm is based on the assumption that the model is balanced, meaning that the total demand equals the total supply. If the model is unbalanced [2,3], we can always augment it with a dummy source or a dummy destination to restore balance. There are $m$ sources and $n$ destinations. $S_{i}$ be the amount of supply at source $i$ and $d_{i}$ be the amount of demand at destinations $f \cdot c_{i j}$ be the unit transportation cost between source $i$ and destination $j, x_{i j}$ represent the amount transported from source $i$ to destinations $j$.
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Then the Linear Programming Problem representing the transportation problem is generally given as
Minimize : $\quad z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j} x_{i j}$

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { subject to: } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i j} \leq S_{i} & i=1,2, \cdots \cdots \cdots, m \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j} \leq d_{j} & j=1,2, \cdots \cdots \cdots, n \\
x_{i j} \geq 0 \text { for all } i \text { and } j
\end{array}
$$

The objective of the model is to determine the unknowns $x_{i j}$ that will minimize the total transportation cost while satisfying all the supply and demand restrictions.

## 2. Methodology

The study was based on the following Existing Methods
There is several well-known heuristics method in the transportation problem.

- Column Minimum Method (CMM)[4]
- Row Minimum Method (RMM)[5]
- Least Cost Method (LCM)[5]
- North West-Corner Method (NWCM)[5]
- Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM)[5,6,7,8]


## 3. Findings and Discussion

## Algorithm for Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM)

VAM is not quite as simple as the Northwest Corner approach, but it facilitates a very good initial solution as a matter of fact, one that is often the optimal solution. Vogel's approximation method tackles the problem of finding a good initial solution by taking into account the costs associated with each route alternative. This is something that the Northwest-corner rule did not do. To apply the VAM, Researchers first compute for each row and column the penalty faced if we should ship over the second best route instead of the least-cost route.

The Vogel approximation method is an iterative procedure for computing a basic feasible solution of the transportation problem. [9,10,11]

Step 1. Identify the boxes having minimum and next to minimum transportation cost in each row and write the difference (penalty) along the side of the table against the corresponding row.
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Step 2. Identify the boxes having minimum and next to minimum transportation cost in each column and write the difference (penalty) against the corresponding column.
Step 3. Identify the maximum penalty. If it is along the side of the table, make maximum allotment to the box having minimum cost of transportation in that row. If it is below the table, make maximum allotment to the box having minimum cost of transportation in that column.
Step 4. If the penalties corresponding to two or more rows or columns are equal, select the top most row and the extreme left column.
Step 5. No further consideration is required for the row or column which is satisfied. If both the row and column are satisfied at a time, delete only one of the two and the remaining row or column is assigned zero supply (or demand).
Step 6. Calculate fresh penalty cost for the remaining sub-matrix as in step 1 and allocate following the procedure of previous step. Continue the process until all rows and columns are satisfied.
Step 7. Compute total transportation cost for the feasible cost for the feasible allocations using the original balanced transportation cost matrix.

## Algorithm for Proposed Method [12, 13, 14]

Step 1. Identify the boxes having maximum and minimum transportation cost in each row and write the difference (penalty) along the side of the table against the corresponding row.
Step 2. Identify the boxes having maximum and minimum transportation cost in each column and write the difference (penalty) against the corresponding column.
Step3. Identify the maximum penalty. If it is along the side of the table, make maximum allotment to the box having minimum cost of transportation in that row. If it is below the table, make maximum allotment to the box having minimum cost of transportation in that column.
Step 4. If the penalties corresponding to two or more rows or columns are equal, select the box where allocation is maximum.
Step 5. No further consideration is required for the row or column which is satisfied. If both the row and column are satisfied at a time, delete the two or column is assigned zero supply (or demand).
Step6. Calculate fresh penalty cost for the remaining sub-matrix as in step 1 and allocate following the procedure of previous step. Continue the process until all rows and columns are satisfied.
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Step 7. Compute total transportation cost for the feasible cost for the feasible allocations using the original balanced transportation cost matrix.

## 4. Numerical Illustration [15]

Consider the transportation problem presented in Table 1.
Table 1: Transportation Table

| Allocation | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Supply |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 30 |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 50 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 75 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 20 |
| Demand | 20 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 50 | 25 | 175 |

Transportation costs per unit of production from particular four allocations to different six destinations are given in the above Table1.

## Solution Applying Vogel's Approximation Method

Table 2. VAM iterative Table

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Supply | Row penalty |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 30 | $\begin{array}{\|llll} (0) & (0) & (0) & (1) \\ & --- & --- \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 3 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 50 | $\begin{array}{\|lll} (1) & (1) & (1) \\ & (1) & (0) \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20 | 5 | 9 | 30 | 25 | 75 | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} (0) & (0) & (0) \\ & (0) & (0) \end{array}$ |  |
| 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 | 20 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 20 | (2) --- --- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Demand | 20 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 50 | 25 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(2)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(0)$ | $(0)$ |
|  | $(2)$ | $(0)$ | $(1)$ | $(3)$ | $(1)$ | $(0)$ |
|  | $(2)$ | $(0)$ | $(1)$ | --- | $(1)$ | $(0)$ |
| Column | -- | $(0)$ | $(1)$ | -- | $(1)$ | $(0)$ |
| Penalty | --- | $(1)$ | $(3)$ | -- | $(2)$ | $(1)$ |
|  | --- | $(1)$ | --- | -- | $(2)$ | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Transportation table of the T. P. has 24 cells. The difference between the smallest and next to smallest costs in each row and each column are computed and displayed inside the parenthesis against the respective columns and rows. The maximum difference is 2 which occur in the $1^{\text {st }}, 4^{\text {th }}$ columns and $4^{\text {th }}$ row. Select $4^{\text {th }}$ row arbitrarily. The lowest cost of $4^{\text {th }}$ row is $c_{42}=1$. Allocate $\operatorname{Min}(20,40)=20$ in the cell $(4,2)$ and diminish 40 by 20 . Since the supply of allocation 4 is exhausted completely cross off $4^{\text {th }}$ row. Calculate the row and column difference by same technique in the reduced cost matrix and displayed in the table.

## Result:

Total Transportation Cost by Vogel's Approximation Method is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =20 \times 1+10 \times 1+20 \times 2+10 \times 1+20 \times 4+20 \times 2+30 \times 6+25 \times 2+20 \times 1 \\
& =450
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution Applying Proposed Approximation Method (PAM)

Table 3. PAM Iterative table

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Supply | Row penalty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 5 | 2 | 30 | (4) (4) --- --- |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 40 4 | 3 | 50 | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} (3) & (2) & (1) \\ & (1) & (1) \end{array}$ |
| 3 | 20 4 | 20 | 5 | 9 | 10 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 25 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (7) } \begin{array}{ll} (4) & (4) \\ (4) & (2) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 4 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 20 | $(6)$ | $(6)$ | $(5)$ | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  |  |
| Demand | 20 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 50 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(3)$ | $(2)$ | $(6)$ | $(8)$ | $(2)$ | $(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(3)$ | $(2)$ | $(6)$ | -- | $(2)$ | $(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Column | $(3)$ | $(2)$ | --- | -- | $(2)$ | $(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Penalty | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | --- | -- | $(2)$ | $(1)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(1)$ | --- | --- | -- | $(2)$ | $(1)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(1)$ | -- | --- | -- | $(2)$ | -- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The difference between the maximum and next minimum costs in each row and each column are computed and displayed inside the parenthesis against the respective columns and rows. The maximum difference is 8 which occur in the $4^{\text {th }}$ columns. The lowest cost of $4^{\text {th }}$ column is $c_{24}=1$. Allocate $\operatorname{Min}(50,10)=10$ in the cell $(2,4)$ and diminish 50 by 10 . Since the demand of Destination 4 is exhausted completely cross off $4^{\text {th }}$ column. Calculate the row and column difference by same technique in the reduced cost matrix and displayed in the table.

## Result:

Total Transportation Cost by Proposed Approximation Method is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =30 \times 1+10 \times 1+40 \times 4+20 \times 4+20 \times 2+10 \times 6+25 \times 2+20 \times 1 \\
& =450 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Conclusion

Here researchers developed a new algorithm for finding an initial basic feasible solution of the transportation problem. From example researchers found that Vogel's Approximation Method and Proposed Approximation Method give the same result.
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