

Alpha set of Double Bi-Topological Space

Dheargham Ali Abdulsada

Department of Statistics, Faculty of Administration and Economics
Sumer University, Iraq. Email: drghamali1985@gmail.com

Received 29 February 2020; accepted 16 March 2020

Abstract. The concept of intuitionistic topology or double topology is an important topic that addresses many of life's uncertainties. The aim of this paper is to define the concept of alpha set and the concept of neighborhood set on a double bi-topological space and to study the most important properties of these concepts.

Keywords: Double set; $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – open set; $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ -neighborhood point

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 11N45

1. Introduction

The first to introduce the topic of intuitionistic set or double set is the mathematician Coker [4]. In 1998, Coker studied the concept of topology and the concept of neighborhood [6] around the same set. After that a lot of studies about this set were mentioned and we mention them. In 2000, an introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces [5] was studied. In 2014, generalized preregular closed sets were defined by the double set [8]. In the same year, the double set was used to define the concept of semi open [11]. In 2016, notes were made about semi open double set [7], study of the concept of fixed point around double set [9], and definition of intuitionistic β -open sets [1]. In 2017, a topic titled common fixed points of occasionally weakly compatible in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space was launched [10]. In 2019, the topic generalized closed set in intuitionistic fuzzy topology was studied. [2]. In 2020, the topic of some properties of double minimal space [3] was studied. In this paper we will know alpha set on double bi-topological space and study its most important properties.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we remember some basic concepts related to double sets.

Definition 2.1. [4] Double set ($\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{S}$ for short) \check{A} of a non-void set X is $\check{A} = \langle A', A'' \rangle$ where $A', A'' \subseteq X$ and $A' \cap A'' = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.2. [2] If $\check{A} = \langle A', A'' \rangle$, $\check{B} = \langle B', B'' \rangle$ and $\{\check{A}_i : i \in I\}$ are double sets in X , where $\check{A}_i = \langle A'_i, A''_i \rangle$. Then

1. $\check{A} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{B} \Leftrightarrow A' \subseteq B' \& B'' \subseteq A''$.

Dheargham Ali Abdulsada

2. $\ddot{A} = \ddot{B} \Leftrightarrow \ddot{A} \subseteq_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{B} \& \ddot{B} \subseteq_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{A}$.
3. $\cup_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{A}_i = \langle \cup A'_i, \cap A''_i \rangle$.
4. $\cap_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{A}_i = \langle \cap A'_i, \cup A''_i \rangle$.
5. $\ddot{X}_{\mathbb{D}} = \langle X, \emptyset \rangle$.
6. $\ddot{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{D}} = \langle \emptyset, X \rangle$.
7. $cop. \ddot{A} = \langle A'', A' \rangle$.
8. $\ddot{A} \setminus \ddot{B} = \ddot{A} \cap_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{B}$.

Definition 2.3. [4] Double point of a non-void set X is $\check{p}_{\mathbb{D}} = \langle \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$, where $p \in X$.

Definition 2.4. [4] A collection $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$ of double sets of X called double topology ($\mathbb{D}T$) if is satisfying the following axioms:

1. $\ddot{X}_{\mathbb{D}}, \ddot{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{D}} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$,
2. $\ddot{A} \cap_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{B} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, for each $\ddot{A}, \ddot{B} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$,
3. $\cup_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{A}_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$ for each $\ddot{A}_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$.

the pair $(X, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}})$ is called a double topological space ($\mathbb{D}TS$) and any double set belong to $\mathbb{D}T$ called \mathbb{D} -open set. $cop.(\ddot{A})$ is \mathbb{D} -closed if and only if \ddot{A} is \mathbb{D} -open.

Definition 2.5. [6] Let $(X, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}})$ be an $\mathbb{D}TS$ and \ddot{A} is double set. Then

$$int_{\mathbb{D}}(\ddot{A}) = \cup_{\mathbb{D}} \{ \ddot{G} : \ddot{G} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}} \text{ and } \ddot{G} \subseteq_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{A} \}.$$

$$cl_{\mathbb{D}}(\ddot{A}) = \cap_{\mathbb{D}} \{ \ddot{F} : \ddot{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{D}\text{-closed and } \ddot{A} \subseteq_{\mathbb{D}} \ddot{F} \}.$$

Obvious

1. $int_{\mathbb{D}}(\ddot{A})$ is \mathbb{D} -open and $cl_{\mathbb{D}}(\ddot{A})$ is \mathbb{D} -closed.
2. \ddot{A} is \mathbb{D} -open if and only if $int_{\mathbb{D}}(\ddot{A}) = \ddot{A}$.
3. \ddot{A} is \mathbb{D} -closed if and only if $cl_{\mathbb{D}}(\ddot{A}) = \ddot{A}$.

3. $\mathbb{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ –open set in double bi-topological space

In this section we will define a new open set called $\mathbb{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ –Open Set and explain the most important characteristics of this set.

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}1}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}2})$ be a double bi-topological space. Then a double subset

\ddot{A} of X is called $\mathbb{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – open set if $\ddot{A} \subseteq_{\mathbb{D}} \eta - int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\mu - cl_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\eta - int_{\mathbb{D}}(\ddot{A}) \right) \right)$, where

$$\eta \neq \mu, \quad \eta, \mu = 1, 2.$$

$$\mathbb{D}\eta\mu\alpha. O(X) = \{ \ddot{A} : \ddot{A} \text{ is } \mathbb{D}\eta\mu\alpha \text{ – open set} \}.$$

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}1} = \{ \ddot{X}_{\mathbb{D}}, \ddot{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{D}}, \langle \{x_1\}, \{x_2, x_3\} \rangle \}$ and

$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}2} = \{ \ddot{X}_{\mathbb{D}}, \ddot{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{D}}, \langle \{x_1\}, \{x_2, x_3\} \rangle, \langle \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3\} \rangle \}$. Then

$$\mathbb{D}12\alpha - open = \mathbb{D}21\alpha. O(X) = \{ \ddot{X}_{\mathbb{D}}, \ddot{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{D}}, \langle \{x_1\}, \{x_2, x_3\} \rangle, \langle \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3\} \rangle, \langle \{x_1, x_3\}, \{x_2\} \rangle \}.$$

Remark 3.3. Not necessary $\mathbb{D}12\alpha. O(X) \neq \mathbb{D}21\alpha - open$ set in general, for example.

Alpha set of Double Bi-Topological Space

Example 3.4. Let $(X, \mathfrak{T}_{D1}, \mathfrak{T}_{D2})$ be a double bi-topological space where $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$,
 $\mathfrak{T}_{D1} = \{\check{X}_D, \check{\emptyset}_D, \langle \{x_1\}, \{x_2, x_3\} \rangle\}$ and
 $\mathfrak{T}_{D2} = \{\check{X}_D, \check{\emptyset}_D, \langle \{x_2\}, \{x_1, x_3\} \rangle, \langle \{x_3\}, \{x_1, x_2\} \rangle, \langle \{x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1\} \rangle\}$.
 Then $\check{A} = \langle \{x_1\}, \{x_2, x_3\} \rangle$ is a $\check{D}12\alpha$ – open but not $\check{D}21\alpha$ – open.

Lemma 3.5. Let $(X, \mathfrak{T}_{D1}, \mathfrak{T}_{D2})$ be a double bi-topological space. Then a double subset \check{A} of X is $\check{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open if and only if there exists $\check{B} \in \mathfrak{T}_{D\eta}$ such that $\check{B} \subseteq_D \check{A} \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{B}))$.

Proof: Let \check{A} be $\check{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set, then $\check{A} \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A})))$, since $\eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A}) \subseteq_D \check{A} \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A})))$ and since $\eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A})$ is \mathfrak{T}_{Di} -open set, put $\check{B} = \eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A})$. Hence $\check{B} \subseteq_D \check{A} \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{B}))$.

Conversely, let $\check{B} \in \mathfrak{T}_{Di}$ such that $\check{B} \subseteq_D \check{A} \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{B}))$, so $\check{B} = \eta - \text{int}_D(\check{B}) \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A})$ and we have $\check{B} \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A}) \subseteq_D \check{A} \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{B}))$ and $\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{B}) \subseteq_D \mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A}))$, $\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{B})) \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A})))$. So $\check{B} \subseteq_D \check{A} \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{B})) \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\check{A})))$. Hence \check{A} is $\check{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set.

Lemma 3.6. Let $(X, \mathfrak{T}_{D1}, \mathfrak{T}_{D2})$ be a double bi-topological space. Then

$$\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})))) = \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})).$$

Proof: Since $\eta - \text{int}_D(\text{cl}_D(\check{A})) \subseteq_D \mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})$,

then $\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A}))) \subseteq_D \mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})) = \mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})$ and we have

$$\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})))) \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})) \quad (1)$$

Also $\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})) \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A}))$, then $\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})) \subseteq_D \mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})))$, so $\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})) = \eta - \text{int}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A}))) \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A}))))$ and we have

$$\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})) \subseteq_D \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})))) \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) we get $\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A})))) = \eta - \text{int}_D(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_D(\check{A}))$.

Lemma 3.7. If \check{B} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set and $\check{B} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{A} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{B}))$, then \check{A} is also $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set.

Proof: Let \check{B} be $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set, then there exist $\check{C} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}\eta}$ such that $\check{C} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{B} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{C}))$ and $\check{B} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{A} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{B}))$, there fore $\check{C} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{B} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{A} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{C}))$.

But $\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{C})) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{B}))$, and $\check{B} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{C}))$,

then $\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{B})) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{C}))))$, so

$\check{C} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{B} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{A} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{B})) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{C})))) = \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{C}))$, there fore we have $\check{C} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{A} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{C}))$. Hence \check{A} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set.

Lemma 3.8. Every $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}\eta}$ – open set is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set.

Proof: Let \check{A} be $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}\eta}$ – open set, then $\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}) = \check{A}$, but $\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}))$, so $\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}) = \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}))) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A})))$, so $\check{A} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A})))$. Hence \check{A} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set.

Remark 3.9. The converse of (Lemma 3.8) is not true in general for (Example 3.2), $\langle\{X_1, X_3\}, \{X_2\}\rangle$ is a $\mathfrak{D}12\alpha$ – open set but not $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1}$ – open set.

Proposition 3.10. The double union of $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set.

Proof: Let \check{A}_{λ} be $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\check{A}_{\lambda} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}_{\lambda})))$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $\cup_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}_{\lambda}) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\cup_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{A}_{\lambda})$ and $\cup_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}_{\lambda}) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\cup_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{A}_{\lambda})$, then we have

$\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \check{A}_{\lambda} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}_{\lambda}))) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}_{\lambda}))) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\check{A}_{\lambda}))) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{m} - \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\eta - \text{int}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \check{A}_{\lambda})))$.

Hence $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \check{A}_{\lambda}$ is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mathfrak{m}\alpha$ – open set.

Alpha set of Double Bi-Topological Space

Remark 3.11. The double intersection of two $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *open* sets not necessary $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *open* set for example

Example 3.12. Let $(X, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}2})$ be a double bi-topological space where $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$,
 $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1} = \{\check{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}, \check{\emptyset}_{\mathfrak{D}}, \langle\{x_1\}, \{x_2, x_3\}\rangle, \langle\{x_4\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}\rangle, \langle\{x_1, x_4\}, \{x_2, x_3\}\rangle, \langle\{x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_4\}\rangle, \langle\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \{x_4\}\rangle, \langle\{x_2, x_3, x_4\}, \{x_1\}\rangle\}$
and $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}2} = \{\check{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}, \check{\emptyset}_{\mathfrak{D}}, \langle\{x_1\}, \{x_2, x_3\}\rangle, \langle\{x_4\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}\rangle, \langle\{x_1, x_4\}, \{x_2, x_3\}\rangle\}$.
Hence $\langle\{x_1, x_3\}, \{x_2, x_4\}\rangle$ and $\langle\{x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_4\}\rangle$ are $\mathfrak{D}12\alpha$ – *open* sets but $\langle\{x_1, x_3\}, \{x_2, x_4\}\rangle \cap_{\mathfrak{D}} \langle\{x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_4\}\rangle = \langle\{x_3\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_4\}\rangle$ is not $\mathfrak{D}12\alpha$ – *open* set.

4. $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ -neighborhood of double point $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$

In this section, we will know a new neighborhood around a point called ($\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *nhd*) and explain the most important characteristics of this set

Definition 4.1. Let $(X, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}2})$ be a double bi-topological space, and $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ double point of X . \check{N} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *nhd* of $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ if and only if there is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *open* set \check{U} such that $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{U} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{N}$.

$\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}) = \{\check{N} : \check{N} \text{ is } \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha \text{ – nhd of } \check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}\}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $(X, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}2})$ be a double bi-topological space, and $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ double point of X . Then every $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1}$ -*nhd* of $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *nhd* of $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Proof: Assume \check{N} is $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1}$ -*nhd* of $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$, then there is $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1}$ -*open* set \check{U} such that $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{U} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{N}$, by (Lemma 3.8) we get \check{U} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *open* set. Hence \check{N} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *nhd* of $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Proposition 4.3. If $\check{N} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.O(X)$, then \check{N} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *nhd* of its double points.

Proof: Let \check{N} be $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *open* set then by (Definition 4.1) \check{N} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *nhd* of its double points.

Theorem 4.4. Let $(X, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}1}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}2})$ be a double bi-topological space. Then

1. $\forall \check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in X, \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}) \neq \check{\emptyset}_{\mathfrak{D}}$.
2. $\forall \check{N} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}})$, then $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{N}$.
3. If $\check{N} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}})$, $\check{N} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{M}$, then $\check{M} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}})$.
4. If $\check{N} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}})$, then there exists $\check{M} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}})$ such that $\check{M} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{N}$ and $\check{M} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{q}_{\mathfrak{D}}), \forall \check{q}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{M}$.

Proof:

1. Since X is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *open* set, it is an $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$.*nhd* of every $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in X$. Hence $X \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}})$. Hence $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}) \neq \check{\emptyset}_{\mathfrak{D}}, \forall \check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in X$.
2. Direct from definition
3. Obvious
4. If $\check{N} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}})$, then there exists a $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *open* set \check{M} such that $\check{p}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{M} \subseteq_{\mathfrak{D}} \check{N}$. Since \check{M} is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *open* set, then is $\mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha$ – *nhd* of each point. Therefore $\check{M} \in \mathfrak{D}\eta\mu\alpha.N(\check{q}_{\mathfrak{D}}), \forall \check{q}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{M}$.

REFERENCES

Dheargham Ali Abdulsada

1. A.Singaravelan and I.Gnanambal, Some more properties of Intuitionistic β -open sets, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 106 (2016) 13-20.
2. D.Abdulsada, Generalized closed set in intuitionistic fuzzy topology, *Intern. J. Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, 17 (2) (2019) 109-114.
3. D.Abdulsada, Some properties of double minimal space, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, (2020) 19-25
4. D.Coker, A note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points, *Tr. J. Math*, 20 (1996) 343-351.
5. D.Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, *Busefal*, 81 (2000) 51-56.
6. E.Coskun and D.Coker, On neighborhood Structures in Intuitionistic topological Spaces, *Mathematical Balkanica*, 12(3-4) (1998) 289-293.
7. G.Sasikala and K.Navaneetha, Study on Intuitionistic semi open sets, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, 12 (2016) 79-84.
8. I.Gnanambal and Selvanayaki, Generalized preregular closed sets in Intuitionistic topological spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 5(4) (2014) 30-36.
9. P.Sengar, S.Jain, A.Pariya and A.Jain, Common coupled fixed-point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Journal of Mathematics and Informatics*, 6 (2016) 41-51.
10. P.Sengar, S.Jain, A.Pariya and V.H.Badshah, Common fixed points of occasionally weakly compatible in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, *Journal of Mathematics and Informatics*, 8 (2017) 1-6.
11. S.Girija and I.Gnanambal, Some more results on Intuitionistic semi open sets, *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, (2014) 70-74.