

International Journal of **Fuzzy Mathematical Archive**

Sanjay Kamila

Department of Applied Mathematics Vidyasagar University, Midnapore-721102, India Email: sanjaykamila2021@gmail.com

Received 10 May 2023; accepted 21 June 2023

Abstract. The finite difference method is a widely used numerical technique for solving differential equations, particularly in boundary value problems (BVPs). However, traditional approaches often face challenges in addressing the uncertainties present in realworld scenarios. This paper introduces an innovative method that combines the finite difference technique with real neutrosophic numbers, providing a more comprehensive framework for managing uncertainties. Real neutrosophic numbers enabling a more flexible representation of uncertain conditions in BVPs. By employing this approach, solutions that better capture the inherent uncertainties are achieved, leading to more reliable and accurate outcomes. The abstract covers the theoretical foundation, implementation, and potential applications of the proposed method across various scientific and engineering domains.

Keywords: Neutrofication, solution of system of equations, finite difference method, neutrosophic number

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 90B05

1. Introduction

After the establishment of fuzzy set (FS) theory, it became evident that while FS effectively addressed many problems involving non-random uncertainty, there were instances where it fell short. In 1983 [4], Atanassov introduced intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFS) as an extension of FS. IFS introduces two parameters: membership value and non-membership value, which must sum up to less than or equal to 1. When these values sum exactly to 1 for all members, IFS reduces back to FS. Essentially, IFS is designed to handle situations where FS fails due to insufficient information or inability to provide a complete solution.

However, even IFS may not suffice in cases involving incomplete, inconsistent, or indeterminate information. To address these more complex scenarios, Smarandache [40, 41, 42, 43, 44] introduced neutrosophic sets (NSs). NSs are characterized by three parameters: truth membership function (t) , indeterminacy membership function (i) , and falsity membership function (f) . This concept expands upon IFS by accommodating situations where uncertainties extend beyond what IFS can effectively manage, offering a robust framework to handle such diverse and challenging problem.

This theory has found extensive application across various fields [51], addressing indeterminate and inconsistent information in real-world scenarios. Neutrosophic sets (NS) generalize classical fuzzy sets, interval-valued fuzzy sets (FS), intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFS), and other related concepts. Researchers have further extended NS into various branches, such as interval neutrosophic sets [47, 49], generalized neutrosophic soft sets [7], among others.

Subsequently, there has been increased interest in NS, leading to the development of the Neutrosophic Fuzzy Number (NFN) along with corresponding matrices [11]. This extension builds upon FS and IFS frameworks. In 2010, Wang et al. [49] introduced the concept of single-valued neutrosophic sets (SVNS), where truth, indeterminacy, and falsity can be independently quantified. Such frameworks are particularly suited to model human reasoning processes, accommodating the imperfect knowledge individuals receive from external sources. For comprehensive details on neutrosophic sets, numbers, and matrices. This theory has been found extensive application in various fields $c10ye14$ for dealing with indeterminate and inconsistent information in the real world. The NS generalized the concept of classical fuzzy set, interval-valued FS, IFS and, so on. Taking into account the NS, several authors worked on its different branches, viz. interval neutrosophic set [47, 49], generalized neutrosophic soft set [7], etc. After that, some others became more interested in NS and developed NFN together with its corresponding matrices [11].

The neutrosophic fuzzy logic is used to solve many decision-making problems and it is also used to many interesting problems on social networks.

2. Neutrosophic number

Samrandche first proposed a concept of **neutrosophic number** which consists of the determinant part and the indeterminate part. It is usually denoted by $N = a + bI$, where a and b are real numbers and I is the indeterminacy such that $I^2 = I, I, 0 = 0$ and $\frac{I}{I}$ is undefined. We call $N = a + bI$ as a pure neutrosophic number if $a = 0$.

For example, we consider a neutrosophic number $N = 5 + 3I$. If $I \in [0,0.02]$, then it is equivalent to $N \in [5,5.06]$ for $N \ge 5$. This means the determinant part is 5, whereas the indeterminacy part is 3*I* for $I \in [0,0.02]$, which means the possibility for number N to be a little bigger than 5.

Note that this number looks like a complex number, but, see that here $I^2 = I$, not −1 like a complex number.

The three basic operators defined on neutrosophic numbers $P = p_1 + q_1 I$ and $Q = p_2 + q_2 I$ are as follows:

(i) $P + Q = (p_1 + p_2) + (q_1 + q_2)I$

(ii) $P - Q = (p_1 - p_2) + (q_1 - q_2)I$

(iii) $P \times Q = p_1 p_2 + (p_1 q_2 + q_1 p_2 + q_1 q_2)I$

In real neutrosophic algebra, we denote K as the neutrosophic field over some neutrosophic vector spaces. We call the smallest field generated by $K \cup I$ or $K(I)$ to be the neutrosophic field for it involves the indeterminacy factor in it, where I has the special property that $I^n = I, I + I = I$ and if $t \in K$ be some scalar then $t, I = tI, 0, I = 0$. Thus, we generally denote neutrosophic field $K(I)$ generated by $K \cup I$, i.e. $K(I) = \langle K \cup I \rangle$.

3. Real neutrosophic matrix

Here we consider the neutrosophic matrix over real numbers based on the work of Smarandache [45]. So it is referred to as a real neutrosophic matrix and is abbreviated by RNM. For details of this matrix see [3].

The neutrosophic number over the field of real/complex numbers is defined in the form $a = a_1 + b_1 l$, where a_1, a_2 are real or complex numbers and I is the indeterminacy [21].

An RNM is defined as in FNM, i.e. of the form $M = M_1 + M_2 I$ where M_1 and M_2 are real matrices. The set of real matrices of order $m \times n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{mn}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and that of order $n \times n$ by $\mathcal{M}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$. The identity RNM of order $n \times n$ is denoted by U_n , all diagonal elements are 1 and all other elements are 0.

The null and identity matrices of order 3×3 are

$$
O_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } U_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The basic operations on RNMs $M = M_1 + M_2 I$ and $N = N_1 + N_2 I$ are

(i) $M + N = (M_1 + N_1) + (M_2 + N_2)I$

(ii) $M - N = (M_1 - N_1) + (M_2 - N_2)I$

(iii) $MN = (M_1N_1) + (M_2N_1 + M_1N_2 + M_2N_2)I$. In this case also, $I^n = I^2 = I$ for any positive integer n .

Assumed that the order of RNMs is compatible with the appropriate operations.

This matrix looks like a complex matrix, but see that here I represents indeterminacy, not complex $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Also, $I^n = I$ for all positive integer *n*, which is not true for complex numbers.

4. Solution of neutrosophic system of linear equations

Let us consider a system of linear equations:

$$
PY = Q, \text{ i.e., } (P_1 + P_2 I)(Y_1 + Y_2 I) = (Q_1 + Q_2 I) \tag{1}
$$

where $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $Y_1, Y_2, Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$.

The *i*, *j*-th element of *P* is $p_{ij} = p_{ij}^{(1)} + p_{ij}^{(2)}I$, the *j*-th element of *Y* and *Q* are

 $y_j = y_j^{(1)} + y_j^{(2)}I$ and $q_j = q_j^{(1)} + q_j^{(2)}I$ respectively. Then the matrices P_1 and P_2 are:

$$
P_1 = (p_{ij}^{(1)})_{n \times n'}, \quad P_2 = (pij^{(2)})_{n \times n}
$$

and the vectors Y_1, Y_2, Q_1, Q_2 are:

$$
Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} \\ y_2^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ y_n^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(2)} \\ y_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y_n^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q_1^{(1)} \\ q_2^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ q_n^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} q_1^{(2)} \\ q_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ q_n^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}
$$

From Eq. (1),

$$
P_1Y_1 + [(P_1 + P_2)(Y_1 + Y_2) - P_1Y_1]I = Q_1 + Q_2I
$$
 (2)

That is,

$$
P_1 Y_1 = Q_1 \tag{3}
$$

$$
(P_1 + P_2)(Y_1 + Y_2) - P_1Y_1 = Q_2 \quad \text{or} \quad (P_1 + P_2)(Y_1 + Y_2) = Q_1 + Q_2 \tag{4}
$$

Eq. (3) gives the vector Y_1 and Eq. (4) gives $Y_1 + Y_2$. The final solution of Eq. (1) is:

$$
Y = Y_1 + Y_2I
$$
 (5)
Notice that Eqs. (3) and (4) are systems of real equations.

Example 1. *Let us consider the neutrosophic system of equations:*

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2+4I & 3-I \ 4-2I & -5+7I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} + y_1^{(2)}I \ y_2^{(1)} + y_2^{(2)}I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8+2I \ -6+12I \end{pmatrix}
$$

In this problem,

$$
P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -2 & 7 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 14 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} \\ y_2^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(2)} \\ y_2^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}
$$

The real system of equations are:

$$
P_1Y_1 = Q_1
$$
, i.e., $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} \\ y_2^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}$

and

$$
(P_1 + P_2)(Y_1 + Y_2) = Q_1 + Q_2, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} + y_1^{(2)} \\ y_2^{(1)} + y_2^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix}
$$

The solution of these equations is:

$$
Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } Y_1 + Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 0I \\ 2 + I \end{pmatrix}
$$

Thus, the final solution is:

5. Neutrofication of finite difference method

The finite difference method is a numerical technique used for solving differential equations by approximating derivatives with differences. When combined with a real neutrosophic matrix, it can provide a more flexible framework to handle uncertainties and indeterminacies in boundary value problems (BVPs).

5.1. Finite difference in real setup

In a real sense i.e., here we take independent variable (x) and the dependent variable (y) also a real. In this method,the derivatives y' and y" are replaced by finite differences (either by forward or central) and generates a system of linear algebraic equations. the central difference formula are used to replace derivatives

$$
y'(x_i) = \frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i_1}}{2h} + O(h^2) \text{ and } y''(x_i) = \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}}{h^2} + O(h^2)
$$
 (6)

the method to solve first order differential equation using finite difference method is nothing but the Euler's method. The finite difference method is commonly used method to solve second order initial and boundary value problem.Here we solve the boundary value problem.

5.2. Second order boundary value problem (BVP)

Let us consider the linear second order differential equation

 $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = r(x), \quad a < x < b$ (7) with boundary conditions $y(a)=\lambda_1$ and $y(b)=\lambda_2$.

Let the interval [a,b] divided into n subintervals with spacing h . That is, $x_i =$ $x_{i-1} + h, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1.$

The equation (3.2) is satisfied by $x = x_i$. Then

$$
y''_i + p(x_i)y'_i + q(x_i)y_i = r(x_i).
$$
 (8)

Now, y''_i and y'_i are replaced by finite difference expressions

$$
y'(x_i) = \frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i_1}}{2h} + O(h^2) \quad \text{and} \quad y''(x_i) = \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}}{h^2} + O(h^2) \tag{9}
$$

Using these substitutions and drooping $O(h^2)$, equation (8) becomes,

$$
\frac{y_{i+1}-2y_i+y_{i-1}}{h^2} + p(x_i)\frac{y_{i+1}-y_{i}}{2h} + q(x_i)y_i = r(x_i); \qquad (10)
$$

that is,

$$
y_{i-1}[2 - hp(x_i)] + y_i[2h^2q(x_i) - 4] + y_{i+1}[2 + hp(x_i)] = 2h^2r(x_i)
$$
 (11)

6. Finite difference of second order BVP in neutrosophic setup

In the above equation (11) we apply neutrosophic number, Let, $p(x_i) = (p_1 + p_2 I)x_i$, $q(x_i) = q_1 + q_2 I + x_i$, $r(x_i) = r_1 + r_2 Ix_i$. where p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2,r_1,r_2 are real or complex number and *I* represent the indeterminacy.

Then,

$$
y_{i-1}[2 - h(p_1 + p_2 I)x_i] + y_i[2h^2(q_1 + q_2 I + x_i) - 4] + y_{i+1}[2 + h(p_1 + p_2 I)x_i] = 2h^2(r_1 + r_2 Ix_i)
$$
\n(12)

Again let,

$$
2 - h(p_1 + p_2 I)x_i = C_i;
$$

\n
$$
2h^2(q_1 + q_2 I + x_i) - 4 = A_i;
$$

\n
$$
2 + h(p_1 + p_2 I)x_i = B_i;
$$

\n
$$
2h^2(r_1 + r_2 Ix_i) = D_i,
$$

With these notations the equations (12) is simplified to

$$
C_i y_{i-1} + A_i y_i + B_i y_i + 1 = D_i, \t\t(13)
$$

for $i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$. The boundary conditions then are $y(a)=\lambda_1$ and $y(b)=\lambda_2$. For $i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$ equation (13) reduces to $C_1y_0 + A_1y_1 + B_1y_2 = D_1$, $or, A_1y_1 + B_1y_2 = D_1$ (as $y_0 = \lambda_1$) and $C_{n-1}y_{n-2} + A_{n-1}y_{n-1} = D_{n-1} - B_{n-1}\lambda_2$. (as $y_n = \lambda_2$)

The equation (13) can be written as

$$
Ay = b
$$
\n(14)
\nwhere $y = [y_1, y_2, ..., y_{n-1}]^t$
\n
$$
b = 2h^2[(r_1 + r_2x_1I) - C_1\lambda_1/(2h^2), (r_1 + r_2x_2I), ..., (r_1 + r_2x_{n-2}, (r_1 + r_2x_{n-1} - B_{n-1}\lambda_2/(2h^2))]^t
$$

\nand
\n
$$
A_1 B_1 0 0 \cdots 0 0
$$

$$
\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_2 & A_2 & B_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_3 & A_3 & B_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_{n-1} & A_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (15)

Equation (14) is a system of equation which can be solved by the neutrosophic algebraic equations. The solution of this system i.e., the values of y_i for $i = 1,2,...,n - 1$ 1 constitutes the the solution of the BVP. The solution like of the form,

$$
y_i = \underline{y}_i + \overline{y}_i I
$$

The above result is illustrated by the following example.

6.1. Illustration

Let,
$$
p_1 = 3
$$
, $p_2 = 2$, $q_1 = -5$, $q_2 = 3$, $r_1 = 4$, $r_2 = 1.5$ i.e.,
\n $p(x_i) = (3 + 2I)x_i$, $q(x_i) = -5 + 3I + x_i$, $r(x_i) = 4 + 1.5Ix_i$.

with the boundary condition $y(0) = 0, y(1) = 0$. Here $nh = 1$. The difference scheme is $y_{i-1}[2 - h(3 + 2I)x_i] + y_i[2h^2(-5 + 3I + x_i) - 4] + y_{i+1}[2 + h(3 + 2I)x_i] =$ $2h^2(4+1.5Ix_i)$ (16)

For $i = 1,2,3$.

$$
A_1 = 2h^2(-5 + 3I + x_1) - 4,
$$

\n
$$
A_2 = 2h^2(-5 + 3I + x_2) - 4,
$$

\n
$$
A_3 = 2h^2(-5 + 3I + x_3) - 4,
$$

\n
$$
B_1 = 2 + h(3 + 2I)x_1,
$$

\n
$$
B_2 = 2 + h(3 + 2I)x_2,
$$

\n
$$
C_1 = 2 - h(3 + 2I)x_1,
$$

\n
$$
C_2 = 2 - h(3 + 2I)x_2,
$$

\n
$$
C_3 = 2 - h(3 + 2I)x_3,
$$

\n
$$
D_1 = 2h^2(4 + 1.5Ix_1),
$$

\n
$$
D_2 = 2h^2(4 + 1.5Ix_2),
$$

\n
$$
D_3 = 2h^2(4 + 1.5Ix_3).
$$

Let $n = 2$. Then $h = 0.5$, $x_0 = 0$, $x_1 = 0.5$, $x_2 = 1$, $y_0 = 0$, $y_2 = 0$. The difference scheme is $y_0[2 - h(3 + 2I)x_1] + y_1[2h^2(-5 + 3I + x_1) - 4] + y_2[2 + h(3 + 2I)x_1]$ $= 2h^2(4 + 1.5Ix_1)$ or, $y_1[2(0.5)^2(-5+3I+0.5)-4] = 2(0.5)^2(4+(1.5)I(0.5))$ or, $y_1 = -0.64 + (-0.2809375)I$ i. e., $y(0.5) = -0.64 + (-0.2809375)I$ Let <u>n=4</u>. Then $h = 0.25$, $x_0 = 0$, $x_1 = 0.25$, $x_2 = 0.50$,

 $x_3 = 0.75$, $x_4 = 1.0$, $y_0 = 0$, $y_4 = 0$. This system of equations becomes $y_0[2 - h(3 + 2I)x_1] + y_1[2h^2(-5 + 3I + x_1) - 4] + y_2[2 + h(3 + 2I)x_1] =$

 $2h^2(4+1.5Ix_1)$ $y_1[2-h(3+2I)x_2] + y_2[2h^2(-5+3I+x_2)-4] + y_3[2+h(3+1)I)x_2]$ $2I)x_2$] = $2h^2(4 + 1.5Ix_2)$ $y_2[2 - h(3 + 2I)x_3] + y_3[2h^2(-5 + 3I + x_3) - 4] +$ $y_4[2 + h(3 + 2I)x_3] = 2h^2(4 + 1.5Ix_3)$

This system is finally simplified to

 $y_1(-4.59375 + 0.375I) + y_2(2.1875 + 0.125I) = 0.5 + 0.046875I$ $y_1(1.625 - 0.25I) + y_2(-4.5625 + 0.375I) + y_3(2.375 + 0.25I) = 0.5 + 0.09375I$ $y_2(1.4375 + 0.375I) + y_3(-4.53125 + 0.375I) = 0.5 + 0.1406I$

These equations can be written as

$$
MX = b \tag{17}
$$

or,
$$
(M_1 + M_2I)(X_1 + X_2I) = (b_1 + b_2I)
$$
.

Here, $M_1 =$ −4.59375 2.1875 0 1.625 −4.5625 2.375 0 1.4375 −4.53125); $M_2 =$ 0.375 0.125 0 −0.25 0.375 0.25 0 0.375 0.375) $X_1 =$ $y_1^{(1)}$ $y_2^{(1)}$ $y_3^{(1)}$); $X_2 =$ $y_1^{(2)}$ $y_2^{(2)}$ $y_3^{(2)}$); $b_1 =$ 0.5 0.5 0.5 $\vert \, ; \, b_2 = \vert \,$ 0.046875 0.09375 0.1406);

And $y_1 = y_1^{(1)} + y_1^{(2)}l$; $y_2 = y_2^{(1)} + y_2^{(2)}l$; $y_3 = y_3^{(1)} + y_3^{(2)}l$; The real system of equation are

$$
M_1X_1 = b_1, \text{ i.e. } \begin{pmatrix} -4.59375 & 2.1875 & 0 \\ 1.625 & -4.5625 & 2.375 \\ 0 & 1.4375 & -4.53125 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} \\ y_2^{(1)} \\ y_3^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{pmatrix};
$$

and

$$
(M_1 + M_2)(X_1 + X_2) = (b_1 + b_2).
$$

i.e.,
$$
\begin{pmatrix} -4.21875 & 2.3125 & 0 \\ 1.375 & -4.1875 & 2.625 \\ 0 & 1.333 & -4.15625 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} + y_1^{(2)} \\ y_2^{(1)} + y_2^{(2)} \\ y_3^{(1)} + y_3^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.546875 \\ 0.59375 \\ 0.6406 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The solution of these equation are

$$
X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} \\ y_2^{(1)} \\ y_3^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.2257618 \\ -0.27009623 \\ -0.179543 \end{pmatrix},
$$

and
$$
X_1 + X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)} + y_1^{(2)} \\ y_2^{(1)} + y_2^{(2)} \\ y_3^{(1)} + y_3^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.32487991 \\ -0.40267961 \\ -0.28954742 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The solution are

$$
y_1 = (-0.2257618) + (-0.09911811)I,
$$

\n
$$
y_2 = (-0.27009623) + (-0.13258338)I,
$$

\n
$$
y_3 = (-0.179543) + (-0.11000442)I.
$$

The solution of the system is

$$
y_1 = y(0.25) = (-0.2257618) + (-0.09911811)I.
$$

\n
$$
y_2 = y(0.50) = (-0.27009623) + (-0.13258338)I.
$$

\n
$$
y_3 = y(0.75) = (-0.179543) + (-0.11000442)I.
$$

This is also the solution of the differential equation.

7. Conclusion

In this project study, finite difference method has been real neutrosophified.In the present study efforts have been made to neutrosophif the finite difference method using netrosophic number and real neutrosophic matrix and also solutions are made for

neutrosophic method. The combination of the finite difference method with a real neutrosophic matrix provides a powerful tool for solving BVPs under uncertainty. This approach allows for a more nuanced representation of the problem, accommodating degrees of truth, indeterminacy, and falsity. By applying these methods, one can obtain solutions that better reflect the inherent uncertainties in real-world problems.

Acknowledgement. I am very grateful to the reviewers for their valuable comments which improves the quality of the paper.

Conflicts of interest. This is the author's sole paper and there is no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions. It is the author's full contribution.

REFERENCES

- 1. A.K. Adak, M. Bhowmik and M. Pal, Intuitionistic fuzzy block matrix and its some properties, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 1(1) (2012) 13-31.
- 2. A.K. Adak, M. Bhowmik and M. Pal, Some properties of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy nilpotent matrices over distributive lattice, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, 4(4) (2012) 371-387.
- 3. R. Ali, Neutrosophic Matrices and Their Properties, ResearchGate, May 2021 DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.26930.12481
- 4. K.T.Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, VII ITKR's Session, Sofia, Bulgarian (1983).
- 5. M. Bhowmik and M. Pal, Intuitionistic neutrosophic set relations and some of its properties, *Journal of Information and Computing Science*, 5(3) (2010) 183-192.
- 6. M. Bhowmik and M. Pal, Some results on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices and intuitionistic circulant fuzzy matrices, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 7(1-2) (2008) 177-192.
- 7. S.Broumi, Generalized neutrosophic soft set. *International Journal of Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 2 (2013).
- 8. K. Das, S. Samanta and M. Pal, Study on centrality measures in social networks: a survey, *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 8(13) (2018) 1-11.
- 9. I. Deli and S.Broumi, Neutrosophic soft matrices and NSM-decision making, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 28(5) (2015) 2233-2241.
- 10. I. Deli, et al., Solving systems of neutrosophic linear equations using a computational algorithm based on neutrosophic Gaussian elimination, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 28 (2019) 12-21.
- 11. M. Dhar, S.Broumi and F.Smarandache, A note on square neutrosophic fuzzy matrices. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 3 (2014) 37-41.
- 12. G. Ghorai and M. Pal, Some isomorphic properties of m-polar fuzzy graphs with applications, *SpringerPlus*, 5 (2016) 1-21.
- 13. C.Jana and M. Pal, A robust single-valued neutrosophic soft aggregation operators in multi-criteria decision making, *Symmetry*, 11(1) (2019) 110. DOI: 10.3390/sym11010110
- 14. C. Jana and M. Pal, Assessment of enterprise performance based on picture fuzzy Hamacher aggregation operators, *Symmetry*, 11(1) (2019) 75.
- 15. C. Jana, M. Pal and J. Wang, A robust aggregation operators for multi-criteria decision-making method with bipolar fuzzy soft environment, *Iranian Journal of*

Fuzzy Systems, 16(6) (2019) 1-16.

- 16. C. Jana, T. Senapati, M. Pal and R.R. Yager, Picture fuzzy Dombi aggregation operators: application to MADM process, *Applied Soft Computing*, 74 (2019) 99- 109.
- 17. C. Jana, G. Muhiuddin and M. Pal, Multiple-attribute decision making problems based on SVTNH methods, *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 11 (2020) 3717-3733.
- 18. C. Jana, M. Pal, F.Karaaslan and J.-Q.Wang, Trapezoidal neutrosophic aggregation operators and their application to the multi-attribute decision-making process, *Scientia Iranica*, 27(3) (2020) 1655-1673. DOI: 10.24200/SCI.2018.51136.2024
- 19. C. Jana and M. Pal, Multi-criteria decision making process based on some singlevalued neutrosophic Dombi power aggregation operators, *Soft Computing*, 25(7) (2021) 5055-5072. DOI: 10.1007/s00500-020-05509-z
- 20. C. Jana and M. Pal, Multiple attribute decision-making based on uncertain linguistic operators in neutrosophic environment, *Neutrosophic Operational Research: Methods and Applications*, (2021) 315-341. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-57197-9_16
- 21. V. W. B. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Some neutrosophic algebraic structures and neutrosophic algebraic structures, *Hexis*, Phoenix, Arizona, 2006.
- 22. K.H.Kim and F.W.Roush, Generalized fuzzy matrices, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 4 (1980) 293-315.
- 23. F. P A fcurar, et al., Neutrosophic matrices and their applications to systems of neutrosophic linear equations, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 27 (2018) 1-14.
- 24. M.Pal, S.K.Khan and A.K.Shyamal, Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, 8(2) (2002)
- 25. R. Mahapatra, S. Samanta, M. Pal and Q. Xin, RSM index: a new way of link prediction in social networks, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 37(2) (2019) 2137-2151.
- 26. M. Pal Interval-valued fuzzy matrices with interval-valued rows and columns. Fuzzy Information and Engineering **7**(3), 335-368 (2015)
- 27. M. Pal, Fuzzy matrices with fuzzy rows and columns, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 30(1) (2016) 561-573. DOI: 10.3233/IFS-151780
- 28. M. Pal and S. Mondal, Bipolar fuzzy matrices, *Soft Computing*, 23(20) (2019) 9885- 9897. DOI: 10.1007/s00500-019-03912-9
- 29. M. Pal, Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices with uncertain rows and columns and their application in decision making problem, *Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing*, 35 (2020) 281-306.
- 30. M. Pal, *Recent Developments of Fuzzy Matrix Theory and Applications*, Springer, Switzerland, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-56936-4
- 31. M. Pal, *Neutrosophic Matrix and Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrix*, In: Recent Developments of Fuzzy Matrix Theory and Applications. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56936-4_10
- 32. J.J. Peng and J. Wang, Multi-valued neutrosophic sets and its application in multicriteria decision-making problems, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 10 (2015) 3-17.
- 33. A. Saha, M. Pal and T.K. Pal, Selection of programme slots of television channels for giving advertisement: A graph theoretic approach, *Information Sciences*, 177(12) (2007) 2480-2492.

- 34. A. A. Salama and S. A. Al-Blowi, Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces, *IOSR Journal of Math.,* 3 (4) (2012) 31-35.
- 35. T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik and M. Pal, Atanassov's Intuitionistic fuzzy translations of intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets,* 19(1) (2013) 32-47.
- 36. A.K. Shyamal and M. Pal, Distances between intuitionistics fuzzy matrices, *V.U.J. Physical Sciences*, 8 (2002) 81-91.
- 37. A. K. Shyamal and M. Pal, Two new operators on fuzzy matrices. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 15 (2004) 91-107. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02935748
- 38. A. K. Shyamal and M. Pal, Interval-valued fuzzy matrices, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 14(3), 583-604 (2006)
- 39. A. K. Shyamal and M. Pal, Triangular fuzzy matrices, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 4(1), 75-87 (2007)
- 40. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophy: neutrosophic probability, set, and logic: analytic synthesis & synthetic analysis. Rehoboth: American Research Press, (1998).
- 41. F. Smarandache, A unifying field in logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic probability, set and logic. Rehoboth: American Research Press (1999).
- 42. F. Smarandache, A unifying field in logics: neutrosophic logics, *Multiple Valued* Logic, 8(3) (2002) 385-438.
- 43. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set, a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 24 (2005) 287-297.
- 44. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set- a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy set. Granular Computing, 2006 IEEE, International Conference, (2006) 38-42. doi:10.1109/GRC.2006.1635754.
- 45. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set-a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy set, *Journal of Defence Resources Management*, 1(1), 107-116.
- 46. B.P. Varol and C. VildanÂ, Etkin And Halis Aygun, A New View on Neutrosophic Matrix, Journal of Hyperstructures 8 (1) (2019) 48-57.
- 47. H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang, and R. Sunderraman, Interval neutrosophic sets and logic: theory and applications in computing. Arizona, Hexis, (2005).
- 48. H. Wang et al., Single valued neutrosophic sets, Proc. of 10th Int. Conf. on Fuzzy Theory and Technology, Salt Lake City, Utah, July 21-26 (2005).
- 49. H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang and R. Sunderraman, Single valued neutrosophic sets, *Multispace and Multistructure*, 4 (2010) 410-413.
- 50. J.J. Wang and X.E. Li, TODIM method with multi-valued neutrosophic sets, *Control and Decision*, 30 (2015) 1139-1142. (in Chinese)
- 51. J. Ye, Similarity measures between interval neutrosophic sets and their applications in multi-criteria decision-making. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 26 (2014) 165-172.
- 52. J. Ye, Hesitant interval neutrosophic linguistic set and its application in multiple attribute decision making, *Int. J. Mach. Learn. Cybern.*, 10 (2019) 667-678.