

## Fuzzy $\gamma$ -Hyper Connectedness in Fuzzy Topological Spaces

*Dipankar De*

Tripura University, Agartala, Tripura, India

Email: [dipankardee@yahoo.co.in](mailto:dipankardee@yahoo.co.in)

Received 17 June 2020; accepted 4 August 2020

**Abstract.** In this paper we introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected space with the help of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected sets. Some basic theorems and results of these spaces are also discussed.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set; Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected; fuzzy P-space; fuzzy Baire space; fuzzy hyper connected spaces.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 54A40

### 1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets which formed the backbone of fuzzy mathematics was first introduced by Zadeh [20] in his classical paper in the year of 1965. The theory of fuzzy topological spaces was introduced and developed by Chang [4] in 1968. Since then much attention has been implied to develop and generalize the fundamental concepts of general topology in fuzzy setting by many authors. Thus a modern theory of fuzzy topology has been developed. In recent fuzzy topology has been found to be very useful in solving many industrial problems. In 2000, [9] Naschie showed that notion of fuzzy topology be relevant to Quantum particle physics.

The concept of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set was introduced by Hanfy et al. [8] in 1999 as a union of notation of fuzzy semi-open sets and fuzzy preopen sets. Hanafy introduced the fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets which are weaker than each of them. Using this notation, he studied fuzzy  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping on fuzzy topological space. In 2015, Thangaraj et al. [12] discussed fuzzy hyper-connected in fuzzy topological space and studied its properties.

We introduce and study the concepts of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connectedness by using fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected sets in fuzzy topological space. Several characterizations of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connectedness in fts in terms of fuzzy  $G_\sigma$  sets, fuzzy  $\gamma$ -first category sets and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -nowhere dense sets are also established in this paper. Also we discuss some theorems and results on this spaces.

### 2. Preliminaries

Some basic definitions and properties which will be needed are recalled in this section. In this paper we use the notion of a fuzzy topology in the original sense of Chang (1968). Throughout this paper by  $(X, \tau)$  we mean a fuzzy topological space (fts, shortly).

Dipankar De

For a fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fts  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\text{cl}\lambda$ ,  $\text{int}\lambda$ ,  $\text{intclint}\lambda$  will respectively stand for the fuzzy closure, fuzzy interior and interior closure interior of  $\lambda$  in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [8] A fuzzy subset  $\lambda$  of fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be fuzzy- $\gamma$  open set (res. fuzzy  $\gamma$  closed set) if

$$\lambda \leq \text{clint}\lambda \vee \text{intcl}\lambda \quad (\text{res. } \lambda \geq \text{clint}\lambda \wedge \text{intcl}\lambda)$$

Also, it may be write that the complement of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed set. Any union (resp. intersection)of Fuzzy of  $\gamma$ -open (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed) set is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open(resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed.). But intersection of two fuzzy  $\gamma$  open sets need not be fuzzy  $\gamma$  open. The intersection of a fuzzy open set which is a crisp subset and a fuzzy  $\gamma$  open set is fuzzy  $\gamma$  open. The union of fuzzy closed set which is a crisp subset and fuzzy  $\gamma$  closed set is fuzzy  $\gamma$  closed.

**Remark 2.2.** It is obvious that every fuzzy open (resp. fuzzy closed) set is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed set).

But the converse need not be true in general.

It is also clear that a fuzzy  $\gamma$  open set is weaker than the concepts of fuzzy semi open or fuzzy pre open set and stronger than concepts of fuzzy semi open set.

**Proposition 2.3.** [1] If  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are two fuzzy subsets of a fts  $(X, \tau)$  then

- (i)  $\text{cl}\mu \vee \text{cl}\lambda = \text{cl}(\mu \vee \lambda)$  and  $\text{cl}\mu \wedge \text{cl}\lambda \supseteq \text{cl}(\mu \wedge \lambda)$
- (ii)  $\text{int}\mu \wedge \text{int}\lambda = \text{int}(\mu \wedge \lambda)$  and  $\text{int}\mu \vee \text{int}\lambda \subseteq \text{int}(\mu \vee \lambda)$
- (iii)  $1 - \text{int}\lambda = \text{cl}(1 - \lambda)$
- (iv)  $1 - \text{cl}\lambda = \text{int}(1 - \lambda)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** ([8] The  $\gamma$ -interior and  $\gamma$ -closure of a fuzzy set  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$  are denoted by  $\gamma\text{-int}(A)$  and  $\gamma\text{-cl}(A)$  respectively and are defined as

$$\gamma\text{-int}(A) = \vee \{B: B \leq A, B \text{ is fuzzy } \gamma\text{-open set in } X\}$$

$$\gamma\text{-cl}(A) = \wedge \{C: C \geq A, C \text{ is fuzzy } \gamma\text{-closed set in } X\}.$$

Here, let  $\mu_A(x)$  and  $\mu_B(x)$  be the membership function of every  $x$  in  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Then a member of  $A$  is contained in a member of  $B$  which is denoted by  $A \leq B$  iff  $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** [8] If  $\lambda$  is a subset of  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\lambda'$  is its complement then

- (i)  $\gamma\text{-cl}\lambda' = (\gamma\text{-int}\lambda)'$
- (ii)  $\gamma\text{-int}\lambda' = (\gamma\text{-cl}\lambda)'$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** [8] i) Each fuzzy semi pre open set which is fuzzy closed is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open.

ii) Each fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set which is fuzzy closed is fuzzy semi open.

**Definition 2.7.** [11] i) A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called fuzzy dense if there exists no fuzzy closed set  $\mu$  in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $\lambda < \mu < 1$ . That is,  $\text{cl}(\lambda) = 1$ .

(ii) A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called fuzzy nowhere dense if there exists no non-zero fuzzy open set  $\mu$  in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $\mu < \text{cl}(\lambda)$ , that is  $\text{intcl}(\lambda) = 0$ .

### Fuzzy $\gamma$ -Hyper Connected Space in Fuzzy Topological Spaces

**Definition 2.8.** [3] A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, \tau)$  if  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$  where  $\lambda_i \in \tau$  for  $i \in \Lambda$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** [1] For a family  $A$  of  $\{\lambda_\alpha\}$  of fuzzy sets of a fts  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\vee \text{cl}(\lambda_\alpha) \leq \text{cl}(\vee \lambda_\alpha)$ .  
In case  $A$  is finite set,  $\vee \text{cl}(\lambda_\alpha) = \text{cl}(\vee \lambda_\alpha)$ .  
Also  $\vee \text{int}(\lambda_\alpha) \leq \text{int}(\vee \lambda_\alpha)$  in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [113] A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy Baire space if  $\text{int}(\vee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)) = 0$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [13] A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy first category set if  $\lambda = \vee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Any other fuzzy set in  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be of fuzzy second category.

**Definition 2.12.** [11] A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy first category set if  $\vee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) = 1_X$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .  
Any other fts  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be of fuzzy second category.

**Theorem 2.13.** [13] If  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy dense set and fuzzy  $G_\delta$  set in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $1 - \lambda$  is a fuzzy first category set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.14.** [10] A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called fuzzy P-space if every non zero fuzzy  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy open in  $(X, \tau)$ . That is, if  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$  where  $\lambda_i \in \tau$  for  $i \in \Lambda$ .

**Definition 2.15.** [16] A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy Volterra space if  $\text{cl}(\bigwedge_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i)) = 1$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are fuzzy dense and fuzzy  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.16.** [5] A fuzzy topological space  $X$  is said to be fuzzy hyper connected if every non null fuzzy open subset of  $X$  is fuzzy dense in  $X$ . That is, a fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy hyper connected if  $\text{cl}(\mu_i) = 1$ , for all  $\mu_i \in \tau$ .

### 3. Fuzzy $\gamma$ -hyper connected space

**Definition 3.1.** A fuzzy topological space  $X$  is called fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected if there is no proper fuzzy set of  $X$ , which is both fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed.

**Definition 3.2.** A fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected if every non null fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open subset of  $X$  is fuzzy dense set. That is, a fts  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected if for every non null fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open subset  $\mu$  of  $X$ ,  $\text{cl}(\mu) = 1$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** A fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected for every non null fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open subset  $\lambda$  of  $X$ , if  $\text{clint} \lambda \vee \text{clintcl} \lambda \leq 1$ .

**Proof.**  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . For every non null fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open subset  $\lambda$

$$\Lambda \leq \text{clint} \lambda \vee \text{intcl} \lambda$$

Since  $\lambda$  is  $\gamma$ -hyper connected in  $(X, \tau)$

$$\therefore \text{cl} \lambda = 1$$

$$\text{cl} \lambda \leq \text{cl} (\text{clint} \lambda \vee \text{intcl} \lambda)$$

Dipankar De

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \text{cl}(\text{clint } \lambda \vee \text{intcl } \lambda) \\
&\Rightarrow \text{clclint } \lambda \vee \text{clintcl } \lambda = 1 \quad [\lambda \text{ is finite fuzzy open set, } \text{cl}(\vee \lambda) \geq \vee \text{cl } \lambda] \\
&\Rightarrow \text{clint } \lambda \vee \text{clintcl } \lambda \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.4.** A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected for non null finite fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed subsets  $\mu$  of  $X$  if  $\text{clint } \mu \wedge \text{clintcl } \mu \leq 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed set.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Then by definition we have } \mu \geq \text{clint } \mu \wedge \text{intcl } \mu \\
1 - \mu &\leq 1 - [\text{clint } \mu \wedge \text{intcl } \mu] \\
\therefore \text{int}(1 - \mu) &\leq \text{int}[1 - (\text{clint } \mu \wedge \text{intcl } \mu)] \\
1 - \text{cl } \mu &\leq 1 - \text{cl}[\text{clint } \mu \wedge \text{intcl } \mu] \\
&\text{since } \mu \text{ is hyper connected, } \text{cl } \mu = 1 \text{ and } \text{cl}(\wedge \mu_i) \subseteq \wedge \text{cl } \mu_i \\
\therefore 1 - 1 &\leq 1 - \text{clclint } \mu \wedge \text{clintcl } \mu \\
&\Rightarrow 0 \leq 1 - \text{clint } \mu \wedge \text{clintcl } \mu \\
&\Rightarrow \text{clint } \mu \wedge \text{clintcl } \mu \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Arbitrary union of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected subset of  $X$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected set.

**Proof:** Let  $\{\lambda_i: i \in \Lambda\}$  be collection of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected sets of  $X$ .

Then, for each  $i \in \Lambda$ , we have  $\lambda_i$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_i &\leq \text{clint } \lambda_i \vee \text{intcl } \lambda_i \\
\Rightarrow \vee \lambda_i &\leq \vee (\text{clint } \lambda_i \vee \text{intcl } \lambda_i) \\
&= (\text{clint } \vee \lambda_i) \vee (\text{intcl } \vee \lambda_i) \quad [\text{since } \vee \text{cl } \lambda_i \leq \text{cl } \vee \lambda_i \text{ and } \vee \text{int } \lambda_i \leq \text{int } \vee \lambda_i]
\end{aligned}$$

Taking closure:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cl}(\vee \lambda_i) &\leq \text{cl}((\text{clint } \vee \lambda_i) \vee (\text{intcl } \vee \lambda_i)) \\
&= [\text{clclint}(\vee \lambda_i) \vee \text{clintcl}(\vee \lambda_i)] \quad [\text{inequality holds in respect of closure property}] \\
&= \text{clint}(\vee \lambda_i) \vee \text{clintcl}(\vee \lambda_i) \\
\therefore \text{clint}(\vee \lambda_i) \vee \text{clintcl}(\vee \lambda_i) &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Imply that  $(\vee \lambda_i)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected in fts  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $X=[0,1]$  defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1(x) &= 0 \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} & \mu_2(x) &= 1, \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4} & \mu_3(x) &= 0, \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4} \\
&= 2x-1 \text{ if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 & &= -4x+2, \frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} & &= \frac{4x-1}{3}, \frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq 1 \\
& & &= 0, \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 & &
\end{aligned}$$

Consider  $\tau = \{0, 1, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_1 \vee \mu_2\}$  on  $X$ . In  $(X, \tau)$   $\mu_2, \mu_3$  and  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2$  are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets but  $\mu_2 \wedge \mu_3$  is not fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set.

Indeed  $\mu_2 \wedge \mu_3 \not\leq \text{clint}(\mu_2 \wedge \mu_3) \vee \text{intcl}(\mu_2 \wedge \mu_3)$ ,

But  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \leq \text{clint}(\mu_2 \wedge \mu_1) \vee \text{intcl}(\mu_2 \wedge \mu_1)$ .  $\therefore \mu_1 \wedge \mu_2$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set

and  $\text{cl}(\mu_2 \wedge \mu_1) = 0$

so,  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2$  is not fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

But  $\mu_2, \mu_3$  are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set

### Fuzzy $\gamma$ -Hyper Connected Space in Fuzzy Topological Spaces

And also  $cl\mu_2=1, cl\mu_3=1$ .

Therefore,  $\mu_2, \mu_3$  are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected sets.

**Definition 3.7.** A fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $\lambda$  in fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called fuzzy  $\gamma$  dense if there exists no fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed set  $\mu$  in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $\lambda < \mu < 1$ ; That is  $cl(\lambda)=1$ .

**Definition 3.8.** A fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $\lambda$  in fts  $(x, \tau)$  is said fuzzy nowhere  $\gamma$ -dense if there exists no non zero  $\gamma$ -open set  $\mu$  in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $\mu < cl(\lambda)$ . That is,  $intcl(\lambda)=0$ .

**Definition 3.9.** A fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $\lambda$  in fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called fuzzy  $\gamma$ -  $F_\sigma$  set in  $(X, \tau)$  if  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$ , where  $1 - \lambda_i \in \tau$  for  $i \in I$ ,

**Definition 3.10.** A fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $\lambda$  in fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called fuzzy  $\gamma$ -  $G_\delta$  set in  $(X, \tau)$  if  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$ , where  $\lambda_i \in \tau$  for  $i \in I$ .

**Definition 3.11.** A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Baire space if  $int(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i))=0$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 3.12.** A fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $\lambda$  in a fts  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy first category  $\gamma$  set if  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are fuzzy nowhere  $\gamma$ -dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Any other fuzzy  $\gamma$  set in  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be fuzzy second category.

**Definition 3.13.** A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\gamma$ -P space if countable intersection of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open . That is, every non zero fuzzy  $\gamma$ - $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** If a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -P space  $(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Baire space

**Proof:** Here, let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -  $G_\delta$  space in fuzzy  $\gamma$ -P space  $(X, \tau)$ .

Since, fts  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected space, the fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $\lambda$  is dense set in  $(X, \tau)$

i.e.  $Cl(\lambda) = 1$ .

Also, we know, since  $\lambda$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -P space and  $\gamma$ -dense set,

Therefore,  $(1-\lambda)$  is first category set in  $(X, \tau)$  .

$\therefore (1-\lambda) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$

$int(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)) = int(1-\lambda) = 1 - cl(\lambda) = 1 - 1 = 0$ .

Since  $int(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)) = 0$ . Where  $\lambda_i$  are fuzzy nowhere dense set,

$\Rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Baire space.

**Theorem 3.15.** If a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -P-space  $(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -second category space.

**Proof:** Let the fuzzy  $\gamma$  P-space  $(X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected space. By above theorem, it may write that  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Baire space.

$\therefore Int(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i))=0$ . Where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are no where dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$

We have to show that  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) \neq 1$ . Suppose  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) = 1$

This imply that

Dipankar De

$\text{Int}[\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)] = \text{int}[1] = 1 \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence we must have  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i) \neq 1$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$  are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -second category space.

**Definition 3.16.** A fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Volterra space if  $\text{cl}(\bigwedge_{i=1}^N(\lambda_i)) = 1$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -dense and fuzzy  $\gamma$ - $G_\delta$  sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Otherwise,  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Volterra space if  $\text{clint}(\bigwedge_{i=1}^N(\lambda_i)) = 1$ , where  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are fuzzy dense and  $G_\delta$  set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.17.** If there are  $k$  fuzzy  $\gamma$ - $G_\delta$  sets,  $(\lambda_i)$ 's ( $i= 1$  to  $k$ ) in fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -P-space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Volterra space.

**Proof:**  $(\lambda_i)$ 's are  $G_\delta$ -sets in a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper connected and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -P space  $(X, \tau)$ .

Since  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy P-space, then  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^k(\lambda_i) = \lambda$ , is also a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set.

Since the fuzzy space  $(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy hyper connected space, the fuzzy open set  $\lambda$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy dense set. That is,  $\text{cl}\lambda = 1$

Hence  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $G_\delta$  set and a fuzzy dense set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Therefore,  $(1-\lambda)$  is 1st category in  $(X, \tau)$ .

$\therefore (1-\lambda) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)$ ,  $\lambda_i$  is nowhere dense set in  $X$ .

Then  $\text{int}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = \text{int}(1-\lambda) = 1 - \text{cl}\lambda = 1 - 1 = 0$ .

Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Volterra space.

#### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce and study a new concept fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper-connectedness in fuzzy topological spaces. The notion of fuzzy open sets are fundamental structure of fuzzy topology. Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets form a link between pre open sets and semi pre-open sets.

This work may be extended to fuzzy strongly  $\gamma$ -connectedness and totally fuzzy  $\gamma$ -disconnectedness with the concepts of fuzzy hyper  $\gamma$ -connectedness spaces. The fuzzy  $\gamma$ -hyper-connectedness may play an important role in computation of fuzzy topology and may have applications in quantum particle physics and quantum gravity, particularly in connection with string theory.

#### REFERENCES

1. K.K.Azad, On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 82 (1981) 14-32.
2. G.Balasubramanian and V.Chandrasekar, Fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connectedness and fuzzy  $\alpha$ -disconnectedness in fuzzy topological spaces, *Math. Bechnk, UDK*, 56, 47-55.
3. G.Balasubramanian, Maximal fuzzy topologies, *Kybernetika*, 31 (1995) 459-464.
4. C.L.Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 24 (1968) 182-190.
5. M.Caldas, G.Navalagi and R.Saraf, On fuzzy weakly semi-open functions, *Proyecciones -Revistade Mate. Chile*, 21 (2002) 51-63.
6. D.De, Fuzzy generalized  $\gamma$ -closed set in fuzzy topological spaces, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 7 (2014) 104-109.
7. D.De, On fuzzy  $\alpha$ -hyper-connectedness in fuzzy topological spaces, *IFSA-SCIS 2017*, Otsu, Japan.
8. I.M.Hanafy, Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -continuity, *J. Fuzzy Mathematics*, 17 (2) (1999) 419-430.

Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Hyper Connected Space in Fuzzy Topological Spaces

9. M.S.El.Naschie, On the certification of heteroyic strings, M theory and  $\infty$ theory, *Chaos, Solutions and Fractals*, (2000) 2397-2408.
10. G.Thangaraj and G.Balsubramunian, On fuzzy basically disconnected spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 9 (2001) 103-110.
11. G.Thangaraj and G.Balsubramunian, On somewhat fuzzy continuous functions,” *J. Fuzzy Math.* 11 (2003) 725-736.
12. G.Thangaraj and C.Anbazhagan, Some remarks on fuzzy P-spaces, *Gen. Math. Notes*, 26 (2015) 8-16.
13. G.Thangaraj and S.Anjalmoose, On fuzzy Baire spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 21 (2013) 667-676.
14. T.Senapati, C.Jana, M.Bhowmik and M.Pal, L-fuzzy G-subalgebras of G-algebras, *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, 23 (2) (2015) 219-223.
15. G.Thangaraj and S.Soundararajan, On fuzzy regular volterra spaces, *J. Computational Mathematica*, 4(1) (2020) 17-25.
16. G.Thangaraj and S.Soundararajan, On fuzzy Volterra spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 21 (2013) 895.
17. G.Thangaraj and C.Anbazhagan and P.Vivakanandan, On fuzzy P-spaces, weak fuzzy P-spaces and almost P-spaces, *Gen. Math. Notes*, 18(2) (2013) 128-139.
18. M.Bhowmik and M.Pal, Some results on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices and intuitionistic circulant fuzzy matrices, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 7 (1-2) (2008) 177-192.
19. A.K.Shyamal and M.Pal, Distances between intuitionistics fuzzy matrices, *VU. J. Physical Sciences*, 8 (2002) 81-91.
20. L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8 (1965) 338-353.