

## 1-Modular Dual Nearlattices

Shiuly Akhter<sup>1</sup> and A.S.A. Noor<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Rajshahai University, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Department of ETE, Prime University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Email: shiuly\_math\_ru@yahoo.com, [asanoor100@yahoo.com](mailto:asanoor100@yahoo.com)

Received 6 April 2018; accepted 22 May 2018

**Abstract.** Jayaram [2] introduced the concept of 0-modular semilattice. Recently, Rahman et al. [4] have introduced the concept of 0-modular Nearlattice. In this paper, we discuss 1-modular dual nearlattice. A dual nearlattice  $S$  with 1 is said to be 1-modular if for all  $a, b, c \in S$  with  $c \geq a$  and  $a \vee b = 1$  imply  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = c$  provided  $b \wedge c$  exists. Akhter and Noor [8] have discussed 1-distributive join semilattice. In this paper, we include several characterizations of 1-modular dual nearlattices.

**Keywords:** 1-distributive join semilattice, 1-modular dual nearlattice, prime filter, join prime element, dual atom

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 06A12, 06A99, 06B10

### 1. Introduction

Varlet [3] introduced the concept of 0-distributive and 0-modular lattices. A lattice  $L$  with 0 is called 0-distributive if for all  $a, b, c \in L$  with  $a \wedge b = 0 = a \wedge c$  imply  $a \wedge (b \vee c) = 0$ . A lattice  $L$  is called 0-modular if all  $a, b, c \in L$  with  $c \leq a$  and  $a \wedge b = 0$  imply  $a \wedge (b \vee c) = c$ . Of course, every distributive lattice is both 0-distributive and 0-modular. [1,2,5,6] have studied different properties of 0-distributivity and 0-modularity in lattices and semilattices. Akhter et al. [7] discussed some properties of 1-distributive join-semilattice. A join-semilattice  $S$  with 1 is called 1-distributive if for all  $a, b, c \in S$  with  $a \vee b = 1 = a \vee c$  imply  $a \vee d = 1$  for some  $d \leq b, c$ . In this paper, we discuss 1-modular dual nearlattice and give several nice characterizations of 1-modular dual nearlattice. A dual nearlattice  $S$  is a join-semilattice together with the property that any two elements possessing a common lower bound, have a infimum. A dual nearlattice  $S$  with 1 is called 1-modular if for all  $a, b, c \in S$  with  $c \geq a$  and  $a \vee b = 1$  imply  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = c$  provided  $b \wedge c$  exists.

A lattice  $L$  with 1 is called 1-distributive if for all  $a, b, c \in L$  with  $a \vee b = a \vee c = 1$  imply  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = 1$ . A lattice  $L$  with 1 is called 1-modular if for all  $a, b, c \in L$  with  $c \geq a$  and  $a \vee b = 1$  imply  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = c$ . A lattice  $L$  with 0 is called semi complemented if for any  $a \in L, (a \neq 1)$  there exists  $b \in L, (b \neq 0)$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ . Dually a lattice  $L$  with 1 is called dual semi complemented if for any  $a \in L, (a \neq 0)$  there exists  $b \in L, (b \neq 1)$  such that  $a \vee b = 1$ . A lattice  $L$  with 0 and 1 is called complemented if for any  $a \in L$  there exists  $b \in L$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$  and  $a \vee b = 1$ . A

lattice  $L$  with  $0$  is called weakly complemented if for any distinct elements  $a, b \in L$ , there exists  $c \in L$  such that  $a \wedge c = 0$  but  $b \wedge c \neq 0$  (or vice versa).

Let  $S$  be a dual nearlattice. A non-empty subset  $F$  of  $S$  is called filter if

(i)  $a, b \in F$  implies there exists  $d \leq a, b$  such that  $d \in F$

(ii)  $a \in F, x \in S$  with  $x \geq a$  implies  $x \in F$ .

A filter  $F$  is called proper filter of a dual nearlattice  $S$  if  $F \neq S$ . A proper filter  $F$  in  $S$  is called prime filter if  $a \vee b \in F$  implies  $a \in F$  or  $b \in F$ . For  $a \in S$ , the filter  $F = \{x \in S | x \geq a\}$  is called the principal filter generated by  $a$ . It is denoted by  $[a]$ . Let  $S$  be a dual nearlattice. A subset  $I$  of  $S$  is called an ideal if (i)  $a, b \in I$  implies  $a \vee b \in I$  (ii)  $a \in S, i \in I$  with  $a \leq i$  implies  $a \in I$ .

An ideal  $I$  of a dual nearlattice  $S$  is called prime ideal if  $I \neq S$  and  $S - I$  is prime filter.

An element  $a$  of a nearlattice  $S$  is called meet prime if  $b \wedge c \leq a$  implies  $b \leq a$  or  $c \leq a$ . An element  $a$  of a dual nearlattice  $S$  is called join prime if  $b \vee c \geq a$  implies either  $b \geq a$  or  $c \geq a$ . A non-zero element  $a$  of a lattice  $L$  with  $0$  is an atom if for any  $b \in L$  with  $0 \leq b \leq a$  implies either  $0 = b$  or  $b = a$ . An element  $a$  of a dual nearlattice  $S$  with  $1$  is called a dual atom if for any  $b \in S$  with  $a \leq b \leq 1$  implies  $a = b$  or  $b = 1$ .

## 2. Main results

To obtain the main results of this paper we need to prove the following first three theorems and one corollary.

**Theorem 1.** A dual nearlattice  $S$  with  $1$  is 1-modular if and only if for all  $a, b, c \in S$  with  $c \geq a, a \vee b = 1, a \wedge b = c \wedge b$  imply  $a = c$  provided  $a \wedge b$  exists.

**Proof:** Suppose  $S$  is 1-modular and  $a, b, c \in S$  with  $c \geq a, a \vee b = 1$ . Also let  $a \wedge b = c \wedge b$ . If  $a \wedge b$  exists then  $c \wedge b$  exists by the lower bound property. Then  $a = a \vee (a \wedge b) = a \vee (b \wedge c) = c$ . Conversely, let  $a, b, c \in S$  with  $c \geq a, a \vee b = 1$  and  $b \wedge c$  exists. Also let  $a \wedge b = c \wedge b$  implies  $a = c$ . Here  $c \geq a \vee (b \wedge c)$  and  $b \vee [a \vee (b \wedge c)] = b \vee a = 1$ . Now,  $a \vee (b \wedge c) \geq b \wedge c$ , so  $b \wedge [a \vee (b \wedge c)] \geq (b \wedge c)$ . Also  $c \geq a \vee (b \wedge c)$  implies  $b \wedge [a \vee (b \wedge c)] \leq (b \wedge c)$  and so  $b \wedge c = b \wedge [a \vee (b \wedge c)]$ , so by the given conditions  $c = a \vee (b \wedge c)$  which implies  $S$  is 1-modular.

**Theorem 2.** A dual nearlattice  $S$  with  $1$  is 1-modular if and only if the interval  $[x, 1]$  for each  $x \in S$  is 1-modular.

**Proof:** If  $S$  is 1-modular then trivially  $[x, 1]$  is 1-modular for each  $x \in S$ . Conversely, let  $[x, 1]$  is 1-modular for each  $x \in S$ . Let  $a, b, c \in S$  with  $a \vee b = 1, c \geq a$  and  $b \wedge c$  exists. Choose  $t = b \wedge c$ . Then  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = a \vee [(t \vee b) \wedge (t \vee c)] = (t \vee a) \vee [(t \vee b) \wedge (t \vee c)] = t \vee c = c$  as the interval  $[t, 1]$  is 1-modular.

**Corollary 3.** A dual nearlattice  $S$  with  $1$  is 1-distributive if and only if the interval  $[x, 1]$  for each  $x \in S$  is 1-distributive.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $S$  be a dual nearlattice. Then the intersection of any two filters of  $S$  is also a filter.

### 1-Modular Dual Nearlattices

**Proof:** Let  $F, G$  be two filters of a dual nearlattice  $S$ . Let  $a \in F \cap G$  and  $b \in S$  with  $b \geq a$ . Then  $a \in F$  and  $a \in G$ . Since both  $F$  and  $G$  are filters, so  $b \in F$  and  $b \in G$ . Hence  $b \in F \cap G$ .

Again let  $a, b \in F \cap G$ . So  $a, b \in F$  and  $a, b \in G$ . Since  $F$  and  $G$  are both filters, then there exist  $f \in F$  and  $g \in G$  such that  $f, g \leq a, b$ . Let  $c = f \wedge g$ . Then  $c \in F \cap G$ , where  $c \leq a, b$ . Hence,  $F \cap G$  is a filter.

**Theorem 5.** For a dual nearlattice  $S$  with 1, if  $I(S)$  is 1-modular, then  $S$  is 1-modular.

**Proof:** Suppose  $I(S)$  is 1-modular. Let  $a, b, c \in S$  with  $a \vee b = 1, c \geq a$  and  $b \wedge c$  exists. Then  $(a] \vee ((b] \wedge [c]) = [c]$  as  $I(S)$  is 1-modular. Thus  $(a \vee (b \wedge c)) = [c]$  and so  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = c$ , which implies that  $S$  is 1-modular.

**Theorem 6.** A dual nearlattice  $S$  with 1 is 1-modular if and only if the lattice of filters of the interval  $[x, 1]$  for each  $x \in S$  is 0-modular.

**Proof:** Let  $S$  be 1-modular. Choose any  $x \in S$ . Then  $[x, 1]$  is also 1-modular. Let  $F, G, H$  be filters of the lattice  $[x, 1]$  such that  $F \supseteq H$  and  $F \cap G = [1]$ . Then  $F \cap (G \vee H) \subseteq H$  is obvious. Let  $h \in H$ . Now  $F \cap G = [1]$  implies  $1 = f \vee g$  for some  $f \in F$  and  $g \in G$ . Thus  $h \vee f \geq f$  and  $f \vee g = 1$  imply  $f \vee [g \wedge (h \vee f)] = h \vee f$  as  $S$  is 1-modular. So  $h \vee f \in F \cap (G \vee H)$  and hence  $h \in F \cap (G \vee H)$ . Therefore,  $F \cap (G \vee H) = H$  and so the lattice of filters of  $[x, 1]$  is 0-modular.

Conversely, suppose the lattice of filters of  $[x, 1]$  is 0-modular. Let  $a, b, c \in [x, 1]$  such that  $c \geq a, a \vee b = 1$ . Then  $[a] \supseteq [c]$  and  $[a] \wedge [b] = [1]$ . So by 0-modular property,  $[a] \wedge ([b] \vee [c]) = [c]$ . Thus,  $[a \vee (b \wedge c)] = [c]$  and so  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = c$ . This implies  $[x, 1]$  is 1-modular. Therefore, by theorem 2,  $S$  is 1-modular.

**Theorem 7.** If a dual nearlattice  $S$  with 1 is 1-distributive and  $[x, 1]$  is dual semi complemented for each  $x \in S$ , then the interval  $[x, 1]$  is 0-distributive for each  $x \in S$ .

**Proof:** Let  $a, b, c \in [x, 1]$  with  $a \wedge b = x = a \wedge c$ . Suppose  $a \wedge (b \vee c) \neq x$ . Then there exists  $p \neq 1$  in  $[x, 1]$  such that  $p \vee (a \wedge (b \vee c)) = 1$ . Then  $a \vee p = 1 = (b \vee c) \vee p$ . Thus  $p \vee b \vee a = 1 = (p \vee b) \vee c$ . This implies  $(p \vee b) \vee (a \wedge c) = 1$  as  $S$  is 1-distributive. This implies  $1 = p \vee b \vee x = p \vee b$ . Again, using the 1-distributivity of  $S$ ,  $p \vee (a \wedge b) = 1$ . That is,  $1 = p \vee x = p$  which gives a contradiction. Therefore,  $a \wedge (b \vee c) = x$ . Hence,  $[x, 1]$  is 0-distributive.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $S$  be a 1-modular dual nearlattice and  $I, J$  are two ideals such that  $I \vee J = [1]$  and  $I \cap J = [x]$  for some  $x \in S$ . Then both  $I$  and  $J$  are principal ideals.

**Proof:** Suppose  $I \vee J = [1]$  and  $I \cap J = [x]$  for some  $x \in S$ . Then  $1 = i \vee j$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ . Let  $b = x \vee i$  and  $c = x \vee j$ . Then  $b \in I$  and  $c \in J$ . We claim that  $I = (b]$




Figure 1: Pentagonal sublattice

and  $J = (c]$ . Indeed, if for instance,  $J \neq (c]$ , then there exists  $a \in J$  such that  $a > c$ . Then  $\{x, c, a, b, 1\}$  is a pentagonal sublattice of  $S$ . This implies  $S$  is not 1-modular and which gives a contradiction.

Therefore,  $J = (c]$ . Similarly,  $I = (b]$ . Hence both  $I$  and  $J$  are principal ideals.

A dual nearlattice  $S$  with 1 is called a dual semi Boolean lattice if it is distributive and the interval  $[x, 1]$  for each  $x \in S$  is complemented.

**Theorem 9.** If a section complemented 1-modular dual nearlattice  $S$  is 1-distributive, then it is dual semi Boolean.

**Proof:** Let  $b < a$  for some  $a, b \in S$ . Then  $b < a \leq 1$ . Since  $[b, 1]$  is complemented so there exists  $c \in [b, 1]$  such that  $c \wedge a = b$  and  $c \vee a = 1$ . Now, if  $b \vee c = 1$ , then by the 1-modularity of  $S$ ,  $b = b \vee (c \wedge a) = a$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $b \vee c \neq 1$ . This implies  $S$  is weakly complemented. Also since  $S$  is 1-distributive, so by Corollary 3,  $[x, 1]$  is Boolean for each  $x \in S$  and so  $S$  is dual semi Boolean.

**Lemma 10.** In a bounded dual semi complemented lattice  $L$ , every join prime element is an atom.

**Proof:** Suppose  $a$  is a join prime element. Let  $0 < b \leq a$ . Then  $0 < b \leq 1$ . Since  $L$  is dual semi complemented, there exists  $c \in L (c \neq 1)$  such that  $b \vee c = 1$ . Since  $b \leq a$ , so  $c \vee a = 1$ . Since  $a$  is join prime element so this implies  $c \geq a$  or  $b \geq a$ . But  $c \geq a$  implies  $c = c \vee a = 1$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $b \geq a$  and so  $a = b$ . Therefore,  $a$  is an atom.

**Lemma 11.** Let  $L$  be a bounded 1-modular lattice. If  $b \in L$  is an atom and  $a \vee b = 1$  for some  $a \neq 0 (a \in L)$ , then  $a$  is a dual atom.

**Proof:** Suppose  $a \leq c < 1$  for some  $c \in L$ . Since  $c \geq a$  and  $a \vee b = 1$ , so by 1-modularity,  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = c$ . Also since  $c < 1$ , it follows that  $b > b \wedge c$  and so  $b \wedge c = 0$  as  $b$  is an atom. Consequently,  $a = a \vee 0 = a \vee (b \wedge c) = c$  by 1-modularity. Thus  $a$  is a dual atom.

**Lemma 12.** Let  $S$  be a 1-modular dual nearlattice and  $[x, 1]$  is dual semi complemented for each  $x \in S$ . If for each  $x \in S$ , 1 is the join of a finite number of join prime elements in  $[x, 1]$ . Then  $x$  is the meet of finite number of dual atoms in  $[x, 1]$ .

**Proof:** Let  $1 = \vee_{i=1}^n p_i$ , where  $p_i$ 's are join prime elements in  $[x, 1]$ . By Lemma 10, each  $p_i$  is an atom in  $[x, 1]$ . Since each  $p_i \neq 1$  and  $[x, 1]$  is dual semi complemented, so there exists  $q_i \in [x, 1]$  such that  $p_i \vee q_i = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Also by Lemma 11, each  $q_i$  is a dual atom in  $[x, 1]$ . Let  $c = \wedge_{i=1}^n q_i$ . Then  $c \wedge p_i = x$  as  $p_i$  is an atom for each  $i$ . As  $[x, 1]$  is dual semi complemented and 1 is the join of finite number of join primes, hence  $[x, 1]$  is 1-distributive and so by Theorem 5,  $[x, 1]$  is 0-distributive. Therefore,  $c \wedge (\vee_{i=1}^n p_i) = x$ . That is,  $c = c \wedge 1 = x$ . Thus  $x = \wedge_{i=1}^n q_i$ .

We conclude this paper with the following Theorem which trivially follows from [2].

**Theorem 13.** For a dual nearlattice  $S$  with 1,  $S$  is dual semi Boolean if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i)  $[x, 1]$  is dual semi complemented for each  $x \in S$
- (ii)  $S$  is 1-modular

## 1-Modular Dual Nearlattices

- (iii)  $1$  is the join of a finite number of join primes

### 3. Conclusion

In this paper, we study the concept of 1-modular dual nearlattice. We also include several characterizations of 1-modular dual nearlattices and prove some results on 1-modular dual nearlattices. Here we prove that, a dual nearlattice  $S$  with  $1$ , is 1-modular if and only if the lattice of filters of the interval  $[x, 1]$  for each  $x \in S$  is 0-modular.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

### REFERENCES

1. A.S.A.Noor and M.Begum, Some properties of 0-distributive meet semilattice, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2(1) (2012) 60-66.
2. C.Jayaram, 0-modular semilattices, *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, 22 (1987) 189-195.
3. J.C.Varlet, A generalization of the notion of pseudo-complementedness, *Bull. Soc. Sci. Liege*, 37 (1968) 149-158.
4. M.Z.Rahman, A.S.A.Noor and M.B.Rahman, 0-modular nearlattice, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 3(2) (2013) 100-107.
5. M.Z.Rahman, M.B.Rahman and A.S.A.Noor, 0-distributive nearlattice, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2(2) (2012) 177-184.
6. P.Balasubramani and P.V.Venkatanarasimhan, Characterizations of the 0-Distributive Lattice, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 32(3) (2001) 315-324.
7. S.Akhter, A.S.A.Noor and M.A.Ali, Some properties of 1-distributive join semilattices, *J. Mech. Cont. & Math. Sci.*, 9(2) (2015) 1377-1384.
8. S.Akhter and A.S.A.Noor, 1-distributive join semilattice, *J. Mech. Cont. & Math. Sci.*, 7(2)(2013), 1067-1076.